| 1 | OFFICE OF ZONING AND ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS |
|----|---|
| 2 | FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | x |
| 10 | : |
| 11 | : |
| 12 | PETITION OF MCDONALD=S USA, LLC : Case No. S-786-B |
| 13 | : OZAH No. 11-43 |
| 14 | : |
| 15 | x |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | A hearing in the above-entitled matter was held on |
| 19 | January 23, 2012, commencing at 9:38 a.m., at the Council |
| 20 | Office Building, Davidson Memorial Hearing Room, 2nd Floor, |
| 21 | 100 Maryland Avenue, Rockville, Maryland 20850 before: |
| 22 | Lynn A. Robeson, Hearing Examiner |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | Deposition Services, Inc. |

12321 Middlebrook Road, Suite 210 Germantown, MD 20874

Tel: (301) 881-3344 Fax: (301) 881-3338 info@DepositionServices.com www.DepositionServices.com

A P P E A R A N C E S

| | Pa | age |
|--|-----|-----|
| ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER: | | |
| John Eidenberger 6903 Rockledge Drive, Suite 1100 Bethesda, Maryland 20877 | 8, | 66 |
| Richard Hurney 20410 Century Boulevard, Suite 230 Germantown, Maryland | 17, | 88 |
| Mike Workosky 1420 Springhill Road, Suite 600 McLean, Virginia 22101 | 49. | 10 |
| ON BEHALF OF THE OPPOSITION | | |
| Richard Kauffunger 2309 East Gate Drive Silver Spring, Maryland | | 71 |

1

24

25

PROCEEDINGS

| 2 | EXAMINER: We=re on the record. Good morning. |
|----|--|
| 3 | This is a public hearing in the matter of McDonald=s USA, |
| 4 | LLC case S-786-B, OZAH case 11-43, an application to modify |
| 5 | an existing special exception for a drive-in restaurant at |
| 6 | 2207 Bel Pre Road, Silver Spring, Maryland on land in the Cl |
| 7 | zone. The property=s legal description is Lot 3, Tremoulis |
| 8 | property, Layhill. This hearing is conducted on behalf of |
| 9 | the Board of Appeals. My name is Lynn Robeson. I=m the |
| 10 | hearing examiner, and I=m going to take testimony and |
| 11 | evidence today and write a report and recommendation to the |
| 12 | Board who makes the final decision in the case. I see the |
| 13 | applicant here. Is there anyone from the audience who is |
| 14 | going to testify in this case? Yes, sir? |
| 15 | MR. KAUFFUNGER: My name is Richard Kauffunger. |
| 16 | I=ve signed it. |
| 17 | EXAMINER: Okay. Why don=t you come up here. |
| 18 | MR. KAUFFUNGER: Okay. |
| 19 | EXAMINER: You=re welcome to come up here and ask |
| 20 | questions. |
| 21 | MR. KAUFFUNGER: Thank you to the court. |
| 22 | EXAMINER: And can you identify yourself for the |
| 23 | record, please? |

MR. RUHLEN: Yes. Sure. My name is Chris Ruhlen. I=m with the law firm of Lerch, Early, and Brewer.

1 EXAMINER: Okay. Is the affidavit of posting 2 already submitted in the file? MR. RUHLEN: Yes. Actually, we have it right here 3 4 to submit. 5 EXAMINER: Okay. I=m going to take that as 6 Exhibit 28 if you want to bring it forward. Thank you. And just do this. All right. Are there any preliminary matters? Mr. Ruhlen? 9 MR. RUHLEN: I think we=re ready to begin our --EXAMINER: Okay. Any opening statements? 10 MR. RUHLEN: Yes. As I said, my name is Chris 11 Ruhlen. I@m with the law firm of Lerch, Early, and Brewer. 12 13 Our firm represents the applicant in this case, McDonald=s USA, LLC. With me today are John Eidenberger who is the 14 15 area construction manager for the Baltimore/Washington region of McDonald=s. He=s here on behalf of the applicant. 16 17 Dick Hurney of Huron Consulting, the civil engineer for this 18 project and Mike Workosky of Wells and Associates, our traffic consultant. 19 20 EXAMINER: Okay. 21 MR. RUHLEN: We=re pleased to have this 22 opportunity to present our application for modifications to 23 the existing drive through at 2207 Bel Pre Road in Aspen Hill which is an approved special exception use, as well as 24

to review our request for a parking waiver in connection

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with these modifications. This project is fairly straight forward and minor in nature. We=re not proposing any changes in terms of hours or operation or number of employees for the restaurant. Rather, the applicant seeks to rebuild and modernize a facility that=s been in continuous operation on the property since at least 1979 when the county first approved a special exception to expand the dining room of a then existing restaurant. At least four other amendments to the special exception have been approved since that initial approval in 1979. However, ours is the first in more than 20 years to propose physical changes to the building and site. These physical renovations are important because they will allow more modern, efficient restaurant to be constructed at a location that has proven over time to be very successful. You will see from our presentation that, with the proposed renovations, the special exception will continue to comply with the general and specific requirements of the zoning ordinance for a drive through restaurant use as well as with the recommendations of the 1994 approved and adopted Aspen Hill master plan. We understand that a question regarding zoning merger has been raised by the hearing examiner with regard to the proposed location of a trash enclosure on the adjacent Plaza del Mercado property. As described by the Court of Appeals in Reams v. Montgomery County, we

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

understand zoning merger issues to arise where one of two lots that are held in common ownership is used in service to the other lot solely to meet zoning requirements. differently, zoning merger occurs when a structure placed on one lot would be nonconforming but for consideration of an adjacent lot under the same ownership for purposes of assessing compliance with zoning requirements. As the evidence will demonstrate, there are no facts or circumstances which would support the application of the doctrine of zoning merger in this instance. Before we begin our presentation, I would like to note that the applicant was pleased with the planning board=s recommendation of approval and agrees with the boards findings and conclusions. We would also note that in terms of process, this project will ultimately require the planning board to approve a site plan as a C1 zone will require a site plan even if the special exception is approved, ultimately, by the Board of Appeals. We=ve completed the affidavit of posting which we have submit to the record. With regard to our testimony, we will first call Mr. Eidenberger who, as the spokesperson for the applicant, will testify as to the general objectives for the renovation project and the operational aspects of the special exception. We will then call Mr. Hurney who will testify as an expert in land planning and civil engineering. Mr. Hurney will focus on

```
matters related to the character and features of the
 1
 2.
   property and surrounding area, project design consideration,
 3
    site planning and compliance with the zoning ordinance
 4
    standards and requirements as well as compliance with storm
 5
    water management, forest conservation, and other applicable
   regulations. And finally, Mr. Workosky will testify as an
 6
    expert in transportation planning to discuss the
    characteristics of the roadway surrounding the property and
    the impacts of the renovation project on roadway capacity.
   Mr. Workosky will also testify as to vehicle circulation and
10
   his findings as to the adequacy, safety, and efficiency of
11
    the proposed plans for handling vehicle circulation.
12
13
    with that, we can proceed. We=d like to call our first
14
   witness.
15
              EXAMINER:
                         Okay. Just a second. Is it Kaufflear?
              MR. KAUFFUNGER:
16
                               No.
17
              EXAMINER: Kauffunger.
18
              MR. KAUFFUNGER: K-A-U-F-F-U-N-G-E-R.
19
              EXAMINER:
                         Okay.
20
              MR. KAUFFUNGER: If that helped you?
21
              EXAMINER:
                         That wasn=t what I was expecting but
22
    I=ll accept it.
                   Do you have any opening statement you=d
2.3
    like to make or --
24
              MR. KAUFFUNGER: Yes. I=m appearing here today as
25
   an individual but also at the request of the president of
```

```
1
   the Layhill Village Civic Association. I am a party of
   record in this case because I was deeply involved at the
    time that the McDonald=s added the drive through windows and
 3
    there were a number of issues that were raised at that time
    that some of the changes are vast improvements and answer
    the problems but there are other problems that directly
 7
    impact concerns about parking and the number of parking
 8
    spaces available.
9
              EXAMINER:
                        Okay. All right. Now, Mr. Ruhlen,
   would you like to call your first witness?
10
11
              MR. RUHLEN: Yes. I=d like to call Mr.
   Eidenberger.
12
13
              EXAMINER:
                       Okay. Mr. Eidenberger, please raise
14
   your right hand. Do you solemnly affirm under penalties of
15
   perjury that the statements you=re about to make are the
    truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
16
17
             MR. EIDENBERGER: Yes.
18
              EXAMINER: All right. Please state your name and
19
    address for the record.
20
             MR. EIDENBERGER: Yes. My name is John
21
    Eidenberger, and I=m an area construction manager for the
22
   McDonald=s Corporation, and my address is 6903 Rockledge
2.3
    Drive, Suite 1100, Bethesda, Maryland 20817.
24
              EXAMINER: Thank you.
```

MR. RUHLEN: Mr. Eidenberger, how long have you

been employed by McDonald=s, and what are the
responsibilities of your position?

2.3

MR. EIDENBERGER: Yes. I=ve been employed by McDonald=s for 27 years, and my responsibilities are to permit and construct new and remodel McDonald=s restaurants.

MR. RUHLEN: Okay. Are you familiar with special exceptions in case S-786-B which is the subject of today=s hearing?

MR. EIDENBERGER: Yes. I am.

MR. RUHLEN: Would you please describe the general background and context of this special exception application?

MR. EIDENBERGER: Yes. McDonald=s leases this property, and this is a recorded lot that is owned by Federal Realty, and it=s within a larger shopping center that is also owned by Federal Realty. The existing restaurant, the existing McDonald=s restaurant, on the property is outdated, and since the restaurant=s original construction, McDonald=s has updated various aspects of our models for our existing restaurants and that would include a new emphasis on our casual dining environment, and a more variant visual architectural look that=s more appealing with this specific exterior look and that would include a flattened roof line, more earth tone colors and indirect lighting to really highlight the building architecture. We

are also incorporating a lot of latest efficiencies into the 1 building and that would include our drive through auto 3 stations and how the whole drive through functions for the restaurant and we believe that the special exception modifications will allow these changes to be made. 6 EXAMINER: No more red and golden arches. No more 7 red sign with the golden arches? 8 MR. EIDENBERGER: So, our signs are still on the 9 building but the red and white branded look is an outdated mode, and we=re trying to make it more relevant for today --10 11 **EXAMINER:** Yes. 12 MR. EIDENBERGER: -- you know, our customers in 13 our communities. So --14 MR. RUHLEN: Have you made a personal inspection 15 of the special exception site and are you familiar with the 16 area surrounding the property? 17 MR. EIDENBERGER: Yes. I=ve made an inspection 18 several times of this site. This site is located, well, 19 we=re now a parcel pad located at Plaza del Mercado Shopping 20 Center, and this property is currently developed as a 21 McDonald=s restaurant with a drive through. 22 MR. RUHLEN: Please describe, if you will, the

25 MR. EIDENBERGER: The current restaurant is an

objectives of the proposed renovations.

current restaurant on the property and the general scope and

23

2.3

existing McDonald=s restaurant with a drive through. The proposed restaurants will still be a McDonald=s restaurant with a drive through. It=s going to provide a new modern restaurant look as shown on the exhibits, and there=s an opportunity with this rebuild to remove -- the existing building has a cellar in it. The new building, it=s -- and that=s a unique situation with this restaurant. The cellar is actually a special condition for this restaurant. With the rebuild, we are actually going to remove that cellar, and we=re going to move it up onto the first floor area. It will just be a slab on grade versus a building with a basement.

EXAMINER: Okay. If you can -- I=m just going to stop you one second.

MR. EIDENBERGER: Sure.

EXAMINER: If we=re going to refer to these exhibits, can you mark -- I believe -- I don=t know if they=re exact duplicates of what=s already in the record.

MR. RUHLEN: I believe that elevations are and the photos, I believe, were submitted. Dick, is this the latest site plan?

MR. KAUFFUNGER: Yes. That=s the latest one.

EXAMINER: That=s a rendered version?

MR. KAUFFUNGER: That=s a rendered version.

MR. RUHLEN: And is that the --

```
MR. KAUFFUNGER: Yeah. That=s fine. That=s
1
 2.
   admitted. So --
 3
             EXAMINER:
                        Okay.
 4
             MR. RUHLEN: Okay. So, we=d like to mark that.
 5
             EXAMINER: Let=s mark those.
 6
             MR. RUHLEN: Okay.
 7
             EXAMINER: 29 will be the rendered site plan.
 8
             MR. RUHLEN: Shall we mark the photos as well?
9
             EXAMINER: Yes. Please.
             MR. RUHLEN: I think so.
10
11
             EXAMINER: And can you describe what the photos
   are just very briefly, Mr. Eidenberger and then we=ll give
12
13
   it a name.
14
             MR. EIDENBERGER: Absolutely.
15
             EXAMINER: Are those existing conditions?
             MR. RUHLEN: Yes.
16
17
             MR. EIDENBERGER: Yes.
                                      They are.
18
             EXAMINER: Photos of existing conditions will be
19
   Exhibit 30. And are you sure that --
20
             MR. EIDENBERGER: I can take them off and submit
21
   them.
22
             EXAMINER: Why don=t you do this. Why don=t we
23
   mark those. I think it is in the record. Yes. But, why
24
   don=t you mark it as Exhibit 17I duplicate.
```

MR. EIDENBERGER: Okay.

```
1
             EXAMINER: Because once in a while we have people
 2
   who on write on these. So --
             MR. EIDENBERGER: Sure.
 3
 4
             MR. RUHLEN: 17I duplicate, and the photos were
 5
   Exhibit 30. Is that correct?
 6
             EXAMINER: Yes.
 7
             MR. RUHLEN: Okay.
             EXAMINER: And duplicate of Exhibit 17I. I=m just
 8
9
   going to give it a separate number in case someone does
10
   write on it.
11
             MR. EIDENBERGER: We can take them off.
12
             MR. RUHLEN: Oh. Yeah. Actually, we can remove
13
   these from the boards at the end of the hearing.
             EXAMINER: You don=t have to until the end.
14
15
             MR. EIDENBERGER: Okay.
             EXAMINER: Until the case is --
16
17
             MR. RUHLEN: So, why don=t we go ahead and we=11
18
   mark it for now so we can refer to it.
             MR. HURNEY: Which one?
19
20
             MR. RUHLEN: The --
21
             EXAMINER: Why don=t you do this? In the, yeah.
22
   Just mark the whole board.
23
             MR. RUHLEN: Okay.
24
             EXAMINER: We=11 keep the whole board through the
25
   Board of Appeals hearing.
```

1 MR. RUHLEN: Okay. 2. EXAMINER: It would be Exhibit 30, photos of 3 existing conditions. All you have to write on it is Exhibit 4 30. 5 MR. RUHLEN: Okay. 6 EXAMINER: Thank you. 7 MR. EIDENBERGER: There=s a stacking exhibit on the back, and I have existing conditions. 9 MR. RUHLEN: Why don=t we do those when we get to 10 that portion of the testimony. EXAMINER: Yeah. Mr. Kauffunger, any objections? 11 12 MR. KAUFFUNGER: No. 13 **EXAMINER:** Okay. They=re admitted. I=m sorry. 14 Mr. Eidenberger, do you want to continue? 15 MR. EIDENBERGER: Yes. So, I left off describing the building and how we=re removing the cellar from the 16 17 existing building and putting it up on the first floor of 18 the building, and I=11 continue on from there. Another aspect of the renovation, we will be upgrading our drive 19 20 through part of the development with an additional order 21 station. This will create a more effective way to channel 22 and control vehicle movements through our site, and our 23 traffic engineer will address that further in his testimony. 24 We=11 also be providing a front drive aisle in front of

McDonald=s restaurant on site with additional landscaping,

2.3

and this front drive aisle will also intend to address bad circulation issues existing versus proposed, and there will be a new dedicated trash corral separated from the building. The existing trash corral is attached to the building. The new trash corral will be separated to, again, help with the circulation throughout the site with proposed development.

MR. RUHLEN: Are there any changes in operation sought in connection with this special exception?

MR. EIDENBERGER: No. There=s not. The existing restaurant runs 24 hours a day, seven days a week. It receives three deliveries -- delivers three times per week at one delivery per truck. The proposed will remain the same. The existing restaurant and proposed restaurant will both continue to employ 14 employees during the morning peak hours, 16 during the lunch peak hours, 14 during the evening peak hours, and three during the overnight shifts, and there will always be a manager on site 24 hours a day, seven days a week.

MR. RUHLEN: Okay. Has there been any community outreach undertaken in connection with this special exception application?

MR. EIDENBERGER: Yes. There has. We=ve had two meetings in neighborhood outreach. We had one meeting for the special exception in the early planning processes, and that happened on May 31, 2011. We had another meeting for

dmb | 16

the site plan=s pre-submission and that happened on October 27, 2011.

MR. RUHLEN: Okay. Is there anything else that you would like to add?

MR. EIDENBERGER: Yeah. I=d like to, excuse me, my voice. I=d like to just mention that McDonald=s has become a very mature company, and the proposed rebuild that we=re proposing for this site is representative of what we=re doing system wide for all of our restaurants. We realize that our outdated restaurants need to be updated to service our customers, and we want to make sure that our restaurants stay fresh and relevant for our customers in the neighborhood and communities where they exist.

EXAMINER: Okay.

2.

2.3

MR. RUHLEN: Great. Thank you. We have no further questions.

driven -- I didn=t make a site visit specific to this application but just for the record, I have been to that shopping center and seen the McDonald=s and disclose that my overall impression of the traffic was that it was a free-for-all. So, I do have to disclose that I have been there and those were my general observations at the time. So, it sounds like you are trying to improve that situation.

MR. EIDENBERGER: We absolutely are with the

```
rebuild.
 1
 2
             EXAMINER: Right.
 3
             MR. EIDENBERGER: It=s to make the building more
 4
   relevant and fresh. It=s the building and the site
    improvements. We=re improving the building, interior and
    exterior, for a better interior experience for our
    customers. We=re also improving the site with a more
   defined drive through flow that=s separated from the
   wonderful free-for-all you discussed.
10
              EXAMINER: Right. And when you say improving,
   your application is tearing the old one down and putting a
11
12
   new one in place. Correct?
13
              MR. EIDENBERGER: Tearing it down 100 percent
14
   and --
15
              EXAMINER:
                         Okay.
             MR. EIDENBERGER: -- coming back with a brand new
16
17
   facility.
18
              EXAMINER: All right. Thank you.
19
             MR. RUHLEN: Okay. We=d like to call Mr. Hurney
20
   if we=re ready.
21
              EXAMINER: Mr. Hurney, do you have that balanced
22
   there?
2.3
             MR. HURNEY: That=s all right.
                                              I --
24
              EXAMINER: I need your right hand. Please raise
25
   your right hand. Do you solemnly affirm under penalties of
```

perjury that the statements you=re about to make are the 2 truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? 3 MR. HURNEY: I do. 4 EXAMINER: Please state your name and business 5 address for the record? 6 MR. HURNEY: Uh-huh. My name is Richard Hurney. 7 I am the president of Huron Consulting at 20410 Century Boulevard, Suite 230, Germantown, Maryland. 9 EXAMINER: And can you spell Hurney, please? MR. HURNEY: H-U-R-N-E-Y. 10 EXAMINER: Okay. That one I expected. Okay. 11 12 ahead. 13 MR. RUHLEN: Okay. Mr. Hurney, how long have you 14 been employed as a civil engineer? 15 MR. HURNEY: Thirty-eight years. MR. RUHLEN: Please describe for us your 16 17 professional educational background and any professional 18 designations or accreditations that you=ve received. MR. HURNEY: Yes. I have a Bachelor=s in civil 19 20 engineering. I=ve been practicing engineering for 38 years. 21 I=m a registered engineer in six states including Maryland, 22 D.C., Virginia, Maryland, Pennsylvania, West Virginia, North 23 I=ve been the president of Huron Consulting for Carolina. over seven years. I=ve worked in Montgomery County for the 24 25 38 years.

```
1
              MR. RUHLEN: Okay. I believe you just answered
 2
    this but are you licensed in Maryland as a professional
 3
    engineer?
 4
              MR. HURNEY: Yes.
                                 I am.
 5
              MR. RUHLEN: Who is your current employer and
 6
    what=s your employer=s full business address?
 7
              MR. HURNEY: I=m employed by Huron Consulting,
    20410 Century Boulevard, Germantown, Maryland.
 8
9
              MR. RUHLEN: What are the responsibilities of your
10
    current position with Huron?
11
              MR. HURNEY: As president, I=m in charge of all
12
    the engineering. I sign, seal all the drawings, and I do
13
   quality assurance and quality control on all the projects.
              MR. RUHLEN: And have you ever testified as an
14
15
    expert before the Office of Zoning and Administrative
    Hearings in Montgomery County and if so, in what capacity
16
17
   have you been qualified to testify?
18
              MR. HURNEY: Yes. I=ve testified before the
19
   hearing examiner and previously under the old Board of
20
   Appeals format. I=ve also testified in the Circuit Court of
21
   Montgomery County and numerous other jurisdictions in
22
   Maryland and Virginia as a civil engineer and land planner.
2.3
              MR. RUHLEN: Great.
24
              EXAMINER: And land planner, did you say?
25
             MR. HURNEY: Yes.
```

1 EXAMINER: Okay. 2 MR. RUHLEN: We=d like to move that Mr. Hurney be 3 admitted as an expert in civil engineering, site 4 development, and land use planning. His resume has been 5 submitted to the record previously. 6 EXAMINER: Correct. Okay. And you were admitted, I mean you were accepted as an expert in both areas? 7 8 MR. HURNEY: Yes. You know, I know I=ve been 9 accepted as a land planner in different jurisdictions. I can=t remember specifically --10 11 EXAMINER: Okay. 12 MR. HURNEY: -- if it was at this one over the 38 13 years. EXAMINER: Any objections to accepting him as a 14 expert civil engineer and land planner, Mr. Kauffunger? 15 16 MR. KAUFFUNGER: No. 17 EXAMINER: Okay. We will accept you as an expert 18 in those fields. 19 MR. RUHLEN: Great. Mr. Hurney, are you familiar 20 with the special exception application which is before us 21 today, case S-786-B? 22 MR. HURNEY: Yes. I am. 2.3 MR. RUHLEN: Please describe your responsibilities with regard to this special exception application. 24 25 MR. HURNEY: As the principle of Huron Consulting,

1

2.3

improvements?

I oversee all the engineering and oversaw the development of

2. this plan. I=ve done numerous site visits and reviewing all 3 the plans during their development. 4 MR. RUHLEN: Great. Would you please describe the 5 location of the property and the surrounding area? MR. HURNEY: Okay. The property, I guess the best 6 is up on Exhibit 30 of the photos. The property is located 7 in the northwest quadrant of Layhill and Bel Pre Road. is in the Plaza del Mercado Shopping Center. separate lot in the shopping center which fronts on Bel Pre 10 Road. It is contiguous with the C1 zoning around it. 11 12 There=s residential on the east side of the property and on 13 the west side. The Argyle County Club is to the north and 14 the Parker Farm Development is to the south of the property. 15 MR. RUHLEN: Okay. Great. And that=s to the east 16 side of the special exception site or to --17 MR. HURNEY: To the Plaza del Mercado Shopping 18 Center. The site itself is surrounded entirely by C1 property. 19 20 MR. RUHLEN: Okay. Have you made a personal 21 inspection of the special exception, of the subject 22 property, and if so, can you describe the existing

MR. HURNEY: Yes. I have. As shown on the photographs, the existing site is a McDonald=s restaurant

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

which was constructed, you know, 30-40 years ago. It is in the middle of the shopping center. On the existing plan, the drive through basically loops around the building in the same type of configuration that is proposed. However, the, as you can see on the back on this photograph, the drive through on the back side of the property basically converges with the drive through of or the two-way drive aisle on the north side of the property. The dumpster is, right now, in the rear of the building. To access the dumpster, it interferes with access for the drive through lane. You can see here the back of a car which is right in front of where the dumpster would be. So, there is conflicting traffic movements in that configuration. The access to the site is off of Bel Pre Road from an existing turn in which is a left turn. If you=re heading eastbound, a right turn. It has both access. Leaving the site is a right out only on the western side of the C1 property. Bel Pre road is a five lane arterial in front of the site. There=s basically a center turn lane that allows people to access the property. The existing square footage of the existing building is 3,562 square feet which includes 1,766, excluding the 1,766 square feet for the cellar which was described previously by Mr. Eidenberger. The present building sits back approximately 55 feet from the right of way line. However, there is a playground area in front of the store which

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

extends out to approximately 30 feet from the right of way line. Currently, the existing requires 47 parking spaces. The parking spaces are based on the patron area of the store. At the present time, 35 of those spaces are met by offsite parking on the adjacent parcel through an agreement with the same property owner. I think that=s basically most of the points for the existing site.

MR. RUHLEN: Yeah. Now, can you tell us what the proposed use of this site will be pursuant to this special exception that=s before us today?

MR. HURNEY: The drive in restaurant will basically be the same as it exists today but there=11 be renovations to the building which is shown on Exhibit 17I The more modern building, more efficient layout duplicate. inside the building. So, in accordance with the improvements, we also did improvements to the parking lot and the drive through process. As had been pointed out before, there are a number of conflicting traffic movements. The onsite circulation for the existing store will keep the exact same entrances onto Bel Pre and the exit off of Bel Pre so there will be no changes to that. However, as you enter the site, we have separated -- this is a one way drive through at the present time heading northbound on the east side of the building. That drive through will continue for access northbound to the remaining shopping center in its

1

2.

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

own dedicated lane. The drive through lanes will be separated out along the side of the building. We=11 be putting in a brand new median with landscape berm and buffer area to separate the two, the drive through and the traffic, that=s going through the shopping center. This will allow the segregation of the two traffic movements. As we go around the rear of the building at the ordering stations, again, the area of the two lane traffic aisle which exists in the shopping center will remain dedicated for the traffic on the shopping center only. That will allow no conflict with the ordering station and the shopping center traffic. The flow will then continue around the building, through the pickup windows and will exit through the southwest corner of the site and go to either the right aisle or go back into the shopping center. We have allowed -- we have put in a brand new drive aisle in front of the restaurant which allows for patrons in the shopping center, especially on the west side or on the north side of the shopping center -- at the present time, if they wish to get to McDonald=s, they either have to cut in line, into the que, or go back onto Layhill or Bel Pre onto the public road and then enter into the drive-through through the entrance where they=re supposed to. However, what that does is causes traffic to get back onto the roadway system. In order to prevent that, we have located the drive aisle in front of the site so that

```
patrons in the shopping center can come in and then
   circulate in a counter clockwise motion around the
 3
   McDonald=s. We still have sufficient buffer and landscaping
    strip along the front of Bel Pre Road approximately 20 feet
    in width so that we can landscape this area as well as also
 6
    get the traffic around it. Also --
 7
              EXAMINER: Can I just ask a question?
              MR. HURNEY: Sure.
 8
9
              EXAMINER: That drive aisle. Did you say the
   drive aisle on the east side, that=s a two way drive aisle?
10
             MR. HURNEY: No. The drive aisle is one way.
11
12
             EXAMINER: No. I mean into the shopping center.
13
             MR. HURNEY: It=s one way.
14
              EXAMINER:
                       Okay.
15
             MR. HURNEY: At the present time and in the
16
    future, it continues that way.
17
             EXAMINER: Not the drive through but the drive
18
   aisle into the --
19
             MR. HURNEY: The drive aisle itself. At the
20
   present time, and it=s hard to see but there=s a do not
21
    enter sign up here.
22
              EXAMINER:
                        Okay.
23
             MR. HURNEY: There is no real method to stop you
24
   from doing that.
```

EXAMINER: Yeah.

25

1 MR. HURNEY: Again, it gets into the free-fall 2 motion. That=s why, in addition to the landscaping we=re 3 putting here, we=re adding a little bit of landscaping over here so that this will be just a one way at that point. 5 EXAMINER: I see. MR. HURNEY: We will continue to have the do not 6 7 enter signs there and we will probably put an arrow there, too, to reinforce the one way circulation pattern. it=ll be a lot more defined as a one way access. 10 EXAMINER: Okay. MR. HURNEY: The only reason, down in this area, 11 this entrance is two ways, in and out. 12 13 EXAMINER: That=s where I was confused. 14 MR. HURNEY: Because of up here as you can see in 15 the photo, this is a gas station. 16 EXAMINER: I see. 17 MR. HURNEY: And the gas station has an entrance 18 onto Bel Pre to the east of the site but cars basically due 19 a circulation through the pump aisles, and they exit out 20 here. So, that=s why we have this truncated area here --21 EXAMINER: I understand. 22 MR. HURNEY: -- so they will not be able to cut 23 across because this is one way. We have the island here to deflect traffic so they=ll go here. It=s only intended that 24

the people at the Shell gas station would be able to leave

 \parallel at this point.

2.

2.3

EXAMINER: Okay. All right. And that=s why you have that.

MR. HURNEY: That=s why we have that. The parking on this side is a little bit hard to see. That is angled parking in this direction so that it facilitates the one way movement.

EXAMINER: Okay.

MR. HURNEY: There=s a Starbucks up here. So, the Starbucks= people have to go in here and then they have to loop around into the site.

EXAMINER: Okay. I understand.

MR. HURNEY: So, another part of the site improvements that we were looking at was, and it goes back to the master plan, is improving pedestrian circulation. At the present time, if you=re coming off of Bel Pre Road, you basically have to walk up one of the drive aisles to get to the site. We have included a crosswalk in front of the store that will tie into the Bel Pre Road sidewalk system. This will be ADA accessible. We=ll have a ramp in front here. The crosswalk will be striped and delineated. We have also provided ADA parking on this side of the building.

EXAMINER: Okay.

MR. HURNEY: There is ADA parking spaces over here on the Starbucks= side and again, we also have the ADA ramps

on this side of the building so that we=ll have ADA access 1 from this side or from the front of the store. 3 I=m sorry to interrupt. What condition EXAMINER: 4 was it that you asked, from the staff report, that you asked the planning board to remove? MR. HURNEY: Over in the southwest corner of the 6 7 site, again, we have a sidewalk in front of the store. We have the ADA accessible ramp in this area. 9 EXAMINER: Uh-huh. 10 MR. HURNEY: There are parking spaces on the west side of the store that are in the shopping center area. The 11 staff wanted to make sure that we delineated a crosswalk --12 13 EXAMINER: Oh. I see. MR. HURNEY: -- from this area to the front of the 14 15 store. We included the crosswalk and we=re striping that in that area. One of the comments was is to also provide an 16 17 ADA ramp on this corner of the sidewalk for the crosswalk. 18 The issue is is that the parking lot, if you look on this 19 photo up here, you see the school bus. It=s hard to see. 20 But, the roof line of the Plaza del Mercado Shopping Center 21 and the bus --22 EXAMINER: I see. 23 MR. HURNEY: -- are diverging. That=s because the west parking lot is on about a seven percent cross slope --24 25 EXAMINER: Okay. I can see --

1 MR. HURNEY: -- in the existing parking lot, and 2 we are tying in right here on the pavement. So, we cannot 3 do anything to the pavement or the parking over on this side 4 of the site --5 EXAMINER: Okay. 6 MR. HURNEY: -- because of parking. We=re going 7 to have this and this will probably be like a rolled curb so that if someone is with a bicycle, they=ll be able to come up on that side. However, we don=t want to put an ADA ramp 10 there, encourage somebody in a wheelchair to --11 EXAMINER: Because the grade is -- well, I=m not sure it would meet the standards. 12 13 MR. HURNEY: It doesn=t meet the 14 standards and we don=t want to --15 EXAMINER: Right. MR. HURNEY: -- indicate that it does meet the 16 17 standards and have them go into a parking lot on a seven or 18 eight percent cross slope. They probably wouldn=t be able to stop. One of the other additions that the staff has 19 20 asked to do, which will include a site plan, is on the south 21 side of the crosswalk, over in this area --22 EXAMINER: Uh-huh. 23 MR. HURNEY: -- we=re going to put another small island which will, again, give a little bit of what they=re 24 25

calling a pedestrian refuge area. It=ll just be down in

dmb | 30

this area. It won=t affect the circulation at all but it will have a little bit of a barrier here so that if someone is coming this way across or this way making the turn, a left hand turn from the shopping center into the front drive aisle, that it will provide a little bit of a safe haven for pedestrians so they can stop in that area.

EXAMINER: But that=s not shown now?

MR. HURNEY: That=s not shown on a special exception plan because that was not indicated on the special exception we put. That was a comment that came in late last week. We=re going to add that on the site plan.

EXAMINER: Okay.

2.3

MR. HURNEY: We have agreed to it with staff that we will do that. Let=s see. I think that=s traffic circulation. The dumpster, again, as we stated before on the existing condition, the dumpster is in the rear of the building which is in conflict with the drive through situation. As people come in, you know, whoever gets there first either the dumpster blocks the drive aisle or the drive aisle blocks the dumpster. Again, to get a more efficient operation, we have located the dumpster to the west side of the site. It allows for the dumpster, the truck to come in in a motion from the east, coming and pick up the trash. The enclosure is completely on lot 2 of -- it abuts up to the property line. We have obtained permission

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

from Federal Realty to put the dumpster on that property. It=s not necessary to have it over here. We did that because we found the safest place to put it and give access to the trash truck while getting that movement out of the drive aisle situation on both the cross drive aisle for the shopping center and the drive loop for the McDonald=s restaurant. There were a couple of places we looked at before. We could have put it back here in basically the same type of situation on this island over here. There=s also room in front of the site based on the -- we have a 10 foot building restriction line. The dumpster=s 10 feet wide. We have 20 feet here. We could have put it in this location. For aesthetics especially, we did not look at putting it in front of the building. We didn=t think that was the proper place to put it. In the rear island in the back, again, you would start getting into movements with the cross drive aisle and, again, we could have put it in the same location but in the general way out configuration of the lots, we felt that this was the most appropriate place to put it for aesthetics and for operational efficiency. Now, is that included in the special EXAMINER: exception area? MR. HURNEY: Yes. The area for the dumpster is

included in the special exception area. That=s why the

special exception area is slightly higher than the square

```
1
   footage for the lot.
 2
              EXAMINER:
                         Okay.
 3
             MR. HURNEY: I think it=s 434 square feet.
 4
             MR. RUHLEN: Yeah. Yeah.
 5
              EXAMINER: I should have asked Mr. Eidenberger
 6
    this probably but the Board of Appeals rules require
    submission of a lease for both, you know, the special
   exception area and the dumpster area or some document.
    could be a cross easement, and I didn=t see either of those
    in the file.
10
11
             MR. RUHLEN: We actually have a copy of the lease
   which we can submit if you=d take it.
12
13
              EXAMINER: For both areas?
             MR. RUHLEN: For the current area. I believe --
14
15
             EXAMINER: What=s the current area?
             MR. RUHLEN: For the existing special which would
16
17
   be the lot 3 area.
18
              MR. HURNEY: Lot 3.
19
              EXAMINER: And what about that small portion on
20
   lot 2?
21
             MR. RUHLEN: We understand that that has to be,
22
   based on the hearing examiner=s comments, that that would
23
   have to be dealt with prior to the Board of Appeals
   decision. So, that=s --
24
25
             EXAMINER: Okay. I just wanted to make sure you
```

```
had that.
1
             MR. RUHLEN: Yes. That=s an item that=s in
 2
 3
   process.
 4
              EXAMINER: Okay. And you=re going to introduce
 5
   those today?
6
             MR. RUHLEN: We can introduce the lease for the
 7
   lot 3.
 8
                        Okay. All right. Do you want to show
              EXAMINER:
   the lease to Mr. Kauffunger?
9
10
             MR. RUHLEN: Sure.
11
             EXAMINER: Do you wish to see the lease or --
12
             MR. HURNEY: The lease is not the issue.
13
             EXAMINER: For you. Okay.
                                 So, no need to see it.
14
             MR. HURNEY: Yes.
15
             MR. RUHLEN: Yes.
                                Just to clarify. The lease is
    for the lot 3 area but not, just to make sure that we=re
16
17
    clear, not currently for the area we=re putting the
18
   dumpster.
19
              EXAMINER:
                        Dumpster area.
20
             MR. RUHLEN:
                          Right.
             EXAMINER: Yeah. I understood that.
21
22
             MR. RUHLEN: Right. So, that would be dealt with
23
    likely through an easement or an amendment to the lease or
24
   something like that.
25
             EXAMINER: Okay. But, I don=t need that for this
```

1 hearing. 2 MR. RUHLEN: Yes. 3 EXAMINER: But there is case law. I think you are 4 going to need it prior to the Board of Appeals. 5 MR. RUHLEN: Yes. 6 **EXAMINER:** So, I=m going to mark this lease 7 between, is it FLV? Is that a fair acronym? So, this will be Exhibit 32. Between FLV and McDonald=s Corporation. right. And so that will be admitted into the record. 10 MR. RUHLEN: Thank you. EXAMINER: I keep interrupting you, Mr. Hurney. 11 12 MR. HURNEY: No problem. No problem. 13 EXAMINER: You can go ahead. 14 MR. HURNEY: Okay. I guess the other is on the 15 parking. The parking for the site in accordance with Montgomery County regs is based on the patron area. The 16 17 existing patron area of the new restaurant is actually less 18 than the patron area of the existing restaurant that is out 19 there at the present time. So, we require, 29 parking 20 spaces will be required for the lease. We have 13 on site. 21 The existing restaurant with the lease allows us 35 spaces 22 on the adjacent shopping center parking lot. So, it gives us a total of 48 spaces, and for the patron area for the new 23

25 EXAMINER: Okay. Is that contained in this

restaurant, we only are required 29 spaces.

```
amendment to the lease, Exhibit 32, your right to use the
1
 2
   parking spaces?
             MR. RUHLEN: I=m not sure that it=s in this
 3
 4
   amendment, actually. Yes. I don=t believe that it=s in
   this amendment. We understanding that=s in the existing,
   the main lease that this amendment is to.
 7
             EXAMINER:
                        Okay. Is it possible to get a copy of
   the main lease, and you can redact out things like dollar
9
   amounts and things like that.
10
             MR. RUHLEN: Sure.
             EXAMINER: We just need a copy of that in the
11
12
   record.
13
             MR. RUHLEN: We will work with the applicant to
14
   get that. We were --
15
             EXAMINER: Since your request is for the waiver.
             MR. RUHLEN: Sure. We were trying to move quickly
16
17
   in response to the e-mail from last week. So --
18
             EXAMINER: I understand. It=s fine. Okay.
                                                           I=m
19
   not interrupting.
20
             MR. HURNEY: Okay.
21
             EXAMINER: Although I may.
22
             MR. HURNEY: That=s fine.
2.3
             EXAMINER: Go ahead.
             MR. HURNEY: That=s fine. The other issue to
24
25
   discuss is, again, with the existing traffic movement with
```

```
the drive aisle which goes up, basically, on the east side
    of the building. There is no specified area for loading
 3
    space. What we have shown on the new plan is there=s a
    crosshatched area just to the west of the drive through
 5
    aisle --
              EXAMINER: Yes.
 6
 7
             MR. HURNEY: -- which allows for the truck to load
   in that area, load, unload. So, the loading operation, the
   drive aisle, and the drive through to the shopping center
    are three distinct lanes so that those can function
10
    concurrently.
11
12
                        Independently.
              EXAMINER:
13
             MR. HURNEY: Independently of each other. We have
14
    basically at this back area here in between the two
15
    landscaped aisles, that other crosshatched area, will be a
   raised hump type of median which will, again, discourage
16
17
   people from trying to get in from the north but will allow a
18
   tractor/trailer to roll over that to get out of the site.
19
              EXAMINER: Okay.
20
             MR. HURNEY: So, we have accommodated the loading,
21
   you know.
22
              EXAMINER: Is that where it=s hatched?
                                 The area that=s hatched will
23
             MR. HURNEY: Yes.
24
   be --
25
             EXAMINER: Okay. I see.
```

```
1
              MR. HURNEY: It=ll be a raised hump in concrete,
 2
    sand we=ll make it fairly significant so a car would have
 3
    difficulty getting over but a tractor/trailer will not.
 4
              EXAMINER:
                        Okay.
 5
              MR. HURNEY: And I believe that=s most of the
 6
   points --
 7
              EXAMINER:
                        Okay.
              MR. HURNEY: -- for the site.
 8
9
              MR. RUHLEN: Okay. Mr. Hurney, are you familiar
   with the 1994 Aspen Hill master plan?
10
11
              MR. HURNEY: Yes.
                                 I am.
12
                          Does the master plan apply to the
              MR. RUHLEN:
13
    subject property?
14
              MR. HURNEY: Yes.
                                 The master plan actually even
15
   has a separate article or paragraph about the Plaza del
   Mercado Shopping Center and some recommendations for the
16
17
    development and re-development of that shopping center.
18
              MR. RUHLEN: Okay. Have you reviewed the official
19
    zoning map for the property and the surrounding area?
20
              MR. HURNEY: Yes.
                                 I have.
21
              MR. RUHLEN: What is the current zoning
22
    classification of the property?
23
              MR. HURNEY: The current zoning is C1 commercial,
    and the surrounding use is basically residential on the
24
25
   east, west, and south of the shopping center property.
```

1 MR. RUHLEN: What about surrounding zoning? 2 MR. HURNEY: The surrounding zoning is residential on -- there=s the Parker Farm residential to the south of 3 4 the property across Bel Pre Road. There=s some residential 5 to the west side. Across Layhill Road there=s residential on the east side and then the Argyle Country Club is on the north side of the Plaza del Mercado Shopping Center. MR. RUHLEN: Okay. This is foundational but I 8 9 want to make sure that we have it before we proceed. is the zoning on the Plaza Del Mercado? 10 MR. HURNEY: The Plaza Del Mercado is C1. 11 12 MR. RUHLEN: Okay. 13 Including the area where the dumpster EXAMINER: 14 is? 15 MR. HURNEY: Yes. Okay. Are you familiar with the 16 MR. RUHLEN: 17 zoning ordinance of Montgomery County including its 18 provisions related to the C1 zone? 19 MR. HURNEY: Yes. I am. 20 MR. RUHLEN: Do any of these zoning ordinance 21 provisions for the C1 zone require setbacks for buildings 22 and structures and if so, could you identify those 2.3 provisions? 24 MR. HURNEY: Yeah. The C1 zone setbacks has a 25 front setback based on the road. If it=s an approved master

```
plan, which Bel Pre is, at that point, there=s a 10 foot
 2.
   building restriction line along the right of way. Our
 3
   building is set back, you know, 45 feet or so. So, we=re
   well in conformance with that. If the zone adjoins
   residential, then it has to be meet those setbacks for the
 6
   residential but we do not adjoin residential. We have C1 on
   both sides and the rear. In that case, the setback is zero.
 8
              EXAMINER:
                         Okay.
 9
                           So, the basic of those -- we have
              MR. HURNEY:
    zero setbacks on either side but we=re well above that on
10
    both sides and the rear but there is no setback required.
11
12
             MR. RUHLEN: In your opinion, does the special
13
    exception application comply with the setback requirements
    established in the zoning ordinance?
14
15
             MR. HURNEY: Yes.
                                 It does.
16
              MR. RUHLEN:
                          Okay. Are you familiar with the
17
    zoning ordinance requirements for special exception per
18
   rule?
19
              MR. HURNEY: Yes. I am.
20
              MR. RUHLEN: Are you also familiar with the
21
    specific requirements in the zoning ordinance for drive in
22
    eating and drinking establishments?
2.3
              MR. HURNEY: Yes.
                                 I am.
                           Okay. I=m now going to ask you a
24
              MR. RUHLEN:
```

series of questions based on both your knowledge of the

```
zoning ordinance, both the general and specific requirements
 1
 2.
    for special exception per rule and your familiarity with the
 3
   project as well as your professional expertise. Is the
 4
    special exception use allowed in the C1 zone?
 5
              MR. HURNEY: Yes.
                                 It=s allowed as a special
 6
    exception approval which has already been previously
    obtained for this site for the use of the drive through
 8
   restaurant.
 9
              MR. RUHLEN: Okay. Does the special exception
    comply with the zoning ordinance standards and requirements
10
11
    for a drive in eating and drinking establishment?
12
             MR. HURNEY: Yes.
                                 It does.
13
             MR. RUHLEN: More specifically, will the special
14
    exception constitute a nuisance because of noise,
15
    illumination, fumes, odors, or physical activity?
16
              MR. HURNEY: No. No impact on noise,
17
    illumination, fumes, odors. It=s basically identical to the
18
   existing use. Actually, the inside operations of the
   McDonald=s will have a state of art filtration ventilation
19
20
    systems over top of the existing. It will have a new
21
    corral, masonry corral, for the dumpster area to enclose
22
          The lighting that we install will basically be modern
23
    lighting. It will be the shoot box type of light which will
24
   be directed downward with no glare. We=ve done a
25
   photometric=s plan for the site to make sure that it
```

```
complies with Montgomery County requirements, and as I said,
 1
 2.
    I think as far as the noise and deliveries and everything
 3
    else, I think those have been accommodated as I=ve discussed
 4
   previously.
 5
              MR. RUHLEN: Will the special exception ensure
    that traffic hazards or traffic nuisances are not created
 6
    and not cause frequent turning movements across sidewalks or
   pedestrian walkways?
9
             MR. HURNEY: Yes. And I think more of the traffic
    will be discussed by the traffic engineer.
10
11
             MR. RUHLEN: Sure. Will the special exception
   pre-empt frontage on any major highway or public road?
12
13
              MR. HURNEY: No. The property is self-contained,
    and it fronts onto Bel Pre at the present time?
14
15
             MR. RUHLEN: Does the special exception apply to
   residential zone or any institutional property?
16
17
             MR. HURNEY: No. It=s C1 on all three sides and
18
   on Bel Pre Road.
19
                          Will signs for the special exception
              MR. RUHLEN:
20
   be placed in conformance with county standards?
21
             MR. HURNEY: Yes. We will go through DBS for sign
22
    approval for the site.
2.3
              MR. RUHLEN: And you mention lighting.
24
    lighting for the special exception protect against
25
   reflections or glare into any residential zones?
```

```
1
             MR. HURNEY: Yes. Again, we=ll use the downward
 2
   boxed lighting. It=s an 80 foot right of way with Parker
 3
   Farms as the closest which is across the street, and they=re
   set back off of it, and our closest light will be, you know,
   about 50 feet away from the right of way. So, it will not
   affect any glare on the residential.
 7
             MR. RUHLEN: Okay. Is the subject property a
 8
   corner lot?
9
             MR. HURNEY: No.
                                It=s not.
10
             MR. RUHLEN: Does a need exist for the special
   exception use?
11
12
             MR. HURNEY: Yes.
                                The need was established for
13
   the special exception in the previous approval for the
   McDonald=s restaurant and the existing amendment will not
14
15
   altar that use.
16
             MR. RUHLEN: Will the special exception result in
17
   a multiplicity of similar uses in the same area?
18
             MR. HURNEY: No. The restaurant replaces the
19
   existing one.
20
             MR. RUHLEN: Will the special exception be
21
   consistent with the master plan?
22
             MR. HURNEY: Yes. It is in conformance with the
23
   Aspen Hill master plan.
24
             MR. RUHLEN: Okay. Will the special exception be
25
   in harmony with the general character of the neighborhood?
```

1

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HURNEY: Yes. You know, I think in harmony, we=re looking at the population density where there will be no affect on the residential population. The design scale and bulk of the proposed facility, will basically be very similar to what=s out there at the present time. I think the architecture is a lot more aesthetically pleasing. It is consistent with the other retail structures in the area. The intensity and character of the activity is compatible with the existing, you know, there=s actually a reduction in the patron area. There will be improved circulation and pedestrian access. Traffic will be discussed a little bit later with the traffic engineer but the onsite traffic movements are a lot more efficient and safe then the current. Parking. We have sufficient amount of parking for the site. Actually exceed the number required, and I don=t think there was any other impacts on the site. MR. RUHLEN: Okay. Will the special exception

MR. RUHLEN: Okay. Will the special exception impact the use, peaceful enjoyment, economic value, or development of surrounding properties of the general neighborhood?

MR. HURNEY: The county=s previously determined that the restaurant was not detrimental to the use or the enjoyment of the surrounding properties. Drive through is consistent with the retail orientation of the shopping center and the economic value of the surrounding properties

```
will not be diminished. In fact, I think this would be a
    little bit of an enhancement to an older shopping center.
 3
    little bit of a modernization and hopefully an encouragement
 4
    of further re-development and future enhancements with the
 5
    shopping center.
 6
              MR. RUHLEN: Will the special exception protect
 7
    against objectionable noises, vibrations, fumes, odors,
   dust, glare, physical activity, et cetera?
9
              MR. HURNEY: Yeah. I think the county has
   previously determined that it will not have any adverse
10
    impacts on those items. The special exception amendment
11
    will not generate any more impacts on those conditions and I
12
13
   think the, you know, allowing the new site circulation and
14
    everything will definitely improve the property.
15
             MR. RUHLEN: Will the special exceptions increase
    the number in intensity or scope of other special exception
16
17
   uses in the area?
18
              MR. HURNEY: There=s no change in those.
19
              MR. RUHLEN: Will the special exception impact the
20
   health, safety, security, moral, or general welfare of
21
    residents, visitors or workers?
22
             MR. HURNEY: No.
                                There=ll be no impact.
                                                        In fact,
```

25 MR. RUHLEN: Will the special exception be served

as I think I=ve said, I think it=ll be a safer site for the

23

24

residents.

```
by adequate public facilities, public services and
 1
 2.
    facilities, including schools, police, fire protection,
 3
    water, sanitary, sewer, public roads, storm drainage?
 4
              MR. HURNEY: Yes.
                                 The existing site is already on
 5
    water and sewer. There is a WSCC water main in front of Bel
   Pre Road, sanitary, sewer. We will connect into WSCC to get
   those improvements. The storm water will be done in
   accordance with Montgomery County standards. We=ve already
    obtained storm water management concept approval for the
    site and, you know, police and fire access is adequate on
10
11
   the site.
12
             MR. RUHLEN: Will the special exception comply
13
    with the development standards for the C1 zone?
                                 It will.
14
              MR. HURNEY: Yes.
15
             MR. RUHLEN: Okay. Will the special exception
    comply with the parking requirements of Article 59E?
16
17
             MR. HURNEY: Yes. As I said, we had the required
18
   number of spaces. You know, there is a parking waiver in
19
    there for the use of the shopping center spaces but as this
20
    was developed back in the 60s, it was fairly common for
21
    shopping centers of this type to have that use of the spaces
22
    they looked as an overall, on a lot, configuration.
2.3
              MR. RUHLEN: In your professional opinion, would a
    waiver from parking requirements be justified?
24
```

MR. HURNEY: Yes. It would.

1.5

2.3

MR. RUHLEN: Can you describe why?

MR. HURNEY: As I said, based on the way things were developed back in, when the shopping center was put in, a lot of these shopping centers, they were always looked at as one development even though they had the lot lines that went over them. The use of the existing parking spaces was kind of common. This site has the 35 that are associated with that which, you know, puts us way over what the required parking number is, and I think that in general, too, with ESD and water quality aspects and everything else, that, you know, you want to minimize the extent of overdoing parking in those areas.

MR. RUHLEN: Has anyone else reviewed the need for a parking waiver and found approval that such a waiver be justified?

MR. HURNEY: Yes. The planning board staff has reviewed it and the planning board discussed it at their hearing and agreed with the waiver.

MR. RUHLEN: Okay. Is the storm water management concept plan required for this special exception?

MR. HURNEY: Yes. We=ll need to get storm water management approval, of course, through Montgomery County.

We=ve already obtained storm water concept approval from DPS for the site.

25 MR. RUHLEN: And does the special exception

```
require preparation for the preliminary force conservation
 1
 2
   plan?
              MR. HURNEY: This site, we filed for a forest
 3
 4
    conservation exception. It was approved by the
 5
    environmental staff at park and planning.
 6
              MR. RUHLEN: Is the property subject to an
 7
    approved water quality plan?
 8
              MR. HURNEY: No. It=s not in a special protection
9
    area.
10
              MR. RUHLEN:
                          Okay.
              MR. HURNEY: Just the north storm water management
11
12
    concept.
13
              MR. RUHLEN:
                          In your professional opinion, does
14
    the proposed special exception satisfy all applicable code
15
   requirements?
16
              MR. HURNEY: Yes. It does.
17
                          Is there anything else that you would
              MR. RUHLEN:
18
    like to add?
19
              MR. HURNEY: Not at the present time.
20
              MR. RUHLEN: Okay. Questions?
21
              EXAMINER:
                         Thank you, Mr. Hurney. I do have two
   more questions that I thought of while you were testifying
22
23
   before I ask Mr. Kauffunger if he has any. That dumpster.
    Is that a permitted use on the adjacent property?
24
```

MR. HURNEY: It can be put in as an accessory

structure on the adjacent property. 1 2 EXAMINER: Well, usually you have a principle 3 activity on that lot 4 MR. HURNEY: Right. 5 EXAMINER: -- and then you have an accessory 6 structure to that principle activity. But, what you=re doing is putting an accessory structure -- so, my question is have you ever had DPS review to make sure that that dumpster on the adjoining property is permitted? 10 MR. HURNEY: There=s no requirement for the number of dumpsters that you have on a shopping center for a site. 11 I mean, they do require --12 13 EXAMINER: Okay. I see. 14 MR. HURNEY: They do require you to have 15 dumpsters. For the Plaza Del Mercado, there=s probably like 16 30 uses out there --17 EXAMINER: Okay. 18 MR. HURNEY: -- or so in the shopping center. 19 a site like that, you will have a common area for dumpsters. 20 It=ll be a little bit larger for it=s based on the space. 21 EXAMINER: Right. 22 MR. HURNEY: Some of the uses may have their own. 23 Like the food store that was up there. It would probably have its own dumpster area. But, some of that like a hair 24 25 salon or, you know, a little retail or real estate office or

```
1
    something like that not=s going to have their own dumpster
    close. They would have one that would be a common share
 3
   dumpster so that you could get approvals for building permit
    to put in a dumpster area like this. This would be a
    structure because it=s a masonry structure. So, you=d have
   to get a building permit. DPS will look at it to make sure
    it meets the setback requirements.
              EXAMINER: Which you say there are not.
 8
9
             MR. HURNEY: Which we meet the setback
   requirements.
10
11
             EXAMINER: Yeah.
12
             MR. HURNEY: Right. Height and setback.
13
                       So, you=re saying this could be an
              EXAMINER:
14
    accessory use in general for the shopping center.
15
             MR. HURNEY: It=ll be an accessory structure.
    It=11 be an accessory structure. Yeah. I mean, you just go
16
17
    in and apply for a building permit for it, you know.
18
              EXAMINER:
                       Right.
             MR. HURNEY: But, since it=s in a shopping center
19
20
   and it=s for --
21
              EXAMINER: Is it for your exclusive use?
22
   McDonald=s exclusive use?
2.3
              MR. RUHLEN: Well, I believe that would be
    addressed through whatever document is ultimately -- sets up
24
25
```

the right to use it, the lease or the easement or whatever.

```
EXAMINER: Oh. Okay. All right. And now I
1
 2
   forgot my second question. Mr. Kauffunger, I=m going to
 3
   turn it over to you. Do you have any questions of Mr.
 4
   Hurney? Solely for questions not for testimony.
 5
             MR. KAUFFUNGER: No questions at this point.
 6
             EXAMINER: No questions? All right. Thank you,
 7
   Mr. Hurney. You can be excused.
 8
             MR. HURNEY: Thank you.
9
             EXAMINER: Mr. Ruhlen, your next witness?
             MR. RUHLEN: Sure. We=11 call Mr. Workosky.
10
             EXAMINER: Oh. Wait. Mister, I=m sorry. I
11
   remembered it.
12
13
             MR. HURNEY: You remembered. Okay.
14
             EXAMINER: Do you happen to know what percentage
15
   of the business here is drive through versus eat in?
             MR. HURNEY: I don=t.
16
17
             EXAMINER: You probably don=t because you=re the
18
   land planner. All right. With that, now you=re really
19
   excused.
20
             MR. HURNEY: Okay.
21
             EXAMINER: Unless your attorney wants you to stay.
22
             MR. RUHLEN: No. Mr. Hurney can be excused.
23
             MR. WORKOSKY: Good morning.
             EXAMINER: Good morning. Please raise your right
24
25
   hand. Do you solemnly affirm under penalties of perjury
```

1 that the statements you=re about to make are the truth, the 2 whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. WORKOSKY: Yes. 3 4 EXAMINER: Thank you. Please state your name and 5 address for the record. 6 MR. WORKOSKY: My name=s Mike Workosky. It=s, W-7 O-R-K-O-S-K-Y. 8 **EXAMINER:** Okay. 9 MR. WORKOSKY: My address is 1420 Springhill Road, Suite 600, McLean, Virginia 22102 10 11 EXAMINER: Thank you. Mr. Ruhlen? 12 MR. RUHLEN: Mr. Workosky how long have you been 13 employed as a transportation planner? 14 MR. WORKOSKY: Twenty-three years. 15 MR. RUHLEN: Who=s your current employer and what is your employer=s full business address? I think you just 16 gave it. 17 18 MR. WORKOSKY: Yes. Wells and Associates, 1420 19 Springhill Road. 20 MR. RUHLEN: Yeah. What is your current position 21 and what are your responsibilities? 22 MR. WORKOSKY: I=m the vice-president of the 23 McLean office. I=m the transportation planner, and my primary responsibilities are to prepare traffic and parking 24 25 studies, review those studies, and provide public hearing

1 testimony among other responsibilities. 2. MR. RUHLEN: Have you ever testified as an expert 3 before the Montgomery County Office of Zoning and 4 Administrative Hearings, and if so, in what capacity have you been qualified to testy? 6 MR. WORKOSKY: I have testified in front of the 7 hearing examiner and other planning boards in Montgomery County, and most of the jurisdictions in the Washington area 8 9 and other states. 10 MR. RUHLEN: Okay. We would move that Mr. Workosky be admitted as an expert in transportation planning 11 12 and traffic engineering, and we=ve already submitted his 13 resume. 14 EXAMINER: Correct. Mr. Kauffunger, do you have 15 any objections? MR. KAUFFUNGER: Well, I have a question or two? 16 17 Okay. You can ask questions. EXAMINER: 18 MR. KAUFFUNGER: Are you a professional engineer? 19 MR. WORKOSKY: I am not. 20 MR. KAUFFUNGER: Okay. 21 MR. WORKOSKY: I=m a professional transportation 22 planner by license. 2.3 MR. KAUFFUNGER: Okay. And where did you obtain 24 your education? 25 MR. WORKOSKY: I went to California, University of

```
Pennsylvania for industrial engineering technology, and I=ve
 1
 2.
    got a -- I=m also a traffic operations practitioner
 3
    specialist and a traffic operations specialist both by
 4
    examination.
 5
             MR. KAUFFUNGER: Okay. Thank you. No objections.
 6
              EXAMINER: We=re qualifying him as a
   transportation planner. Is that correct?
 7
 8
              MR. RUHLEN: An expert in transportation planning,
 9
   traffic engineering.
10
              EXAMINER: Okay. Well, wait. He=s not a
   professional traffic engineer. Are you a civil engineer?
11
12
             MR. WORKOSKY: No. Transportation planner.
13
             MR. RUHLEN: Transportation planner.
14
    Transportation planner.
15
              EXAMINER: Okay. We=ll do that. Thank you.
              MR. RUHLEN: Are you familiar with special
16
17
    exception case S-786-B?
18
              MR. WORKOSKY: Yes. I am.
             MR. RUHLEN: Please describe your responsibilities
19
20
    with regard to the special exception application.
21
              MR. WORKOSKY: We observe the existing traffic
22
    conditions out in the field on a typical weekday and
2.3
   Saturday. We review the current or the existing and the
   proposed site plans and also analyze the county requirements
24
25
   regarding the local area transportation review and the
```

policy area mobility report. 2. MR. RUHLEN: Okay. Have you made a personal 3 inspection of the special exception site, and are you 4 familiar with the area surrounding the property? 5 MR. WORKOSKY: Yes. I have. 6 MR. RUHLEN: Are you familiar with Montgomery 7 County=s local area transportation review and policy area 8 transportation review guidelines? 9 MR. WORKOSKY: Yes. I am. 10 MR. RUHLEN: Did you prepare a written report comparing the existing uses on the site with the proposed 11 12 special exception? 13 MR. WORKOSKY: Yes. We prepared a traffic 14 statement regarding the -- addressing both LATR and PAMR 15 requirements. 16 MR. RUHLEN: Okay. Is the proposed special 17 exception subject t0 LATR requirements? 18 MR. WORKOSKY: It is not based on the statement 19 that we prepared. 20 MR. RUHLEN: Same question. Is the proposed 21 special exception subject to policy area mobility review? 22 MR. WORKOSKY: It is not. 2.3 EXAMINER: Is that because of the reduced size of 24 the facility?

MR. WORKOSKY: Yes.

EXAMINER: It=s under the existing trips?

2 MR. WORKOSKY: Correct.

3 EXAMINER: Okay.

1

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ RUHLEN: I=ll go ahead. Please summarize the findings of your traffic statement.

MR. WORKOSKY: We calculated the number of trips that the restaurant generated, would generate for the peak hours under both the existing and the proposed conditions. There is a reduction in the GFA, the square footage, which is one of the independent variables used to calculate trips. However, since there is that slight reduction, we looked at the number of seats that the restaurant would provide because that=s another variable and thought that that would be more relevant since there are outdoor seating and the specifications for calculating those trips specifically indicates that the GFA numbers do not account for outdoor seating. So, we use the number of seats to calculate those number of trips. So, with the rebuild of the site, there would be a reduction in the number of seats from 121 to 80 when you include the outdoor seating. So, theoretically, there is a reduction, if you will, in peak hour traffic, and that=s what our traffic statement indicated but it did acknowledge that this is not a new project. It=s in an established area and has a mature market, you know. suspect the customers are all repeat customers. That sort

of thing. So, there is the theoretical side where we meet the exemptions for LATR and PAMR but we recognize that we=ll still generate roughly the same number of peak hour trips that occur today.

EXAMINER: Okay.

MR. RUHLEN: Okay. Would you please describe how vehicles currently enter and exit the property?

MR. WORKOSKY: As Mr. Hurney mentioned in his testimony, there=s a full movement driveway on Bel Pre Road which allows both left turns and right turns from Bel Pre Road. That=s the main access. There=s also another intersection to the west, Parker Farm, which is a signalized intersection. It allows all movements there as well.

MR. RUHLEN: And would you please describe the proposed access into and out?

MR. WORKOSKY: Well, the proposed access would primarily stay as is today. Again, as Mr. Hurney mentioned, two way drive aisle here up until this point to allow access to the service station and building on the east side and then a one way drive aisle as is today leading into the shopping center.

MR. RUHLEN: In your opinion, will the special exception create a traffic hazard or traffic nuisance or cause frequent turning movements across sidewalks and pedestrian areas?

MR. WORKOSKY: I don=t believe so. I think the 1 2 condition that, the proposed condition, will improve many of 3 those movements that exist today. 4 MR. RUHLEN: Okay. Has anyone else reviewed the 5 proposed special exception in terms of traffic impacts? 6 MR. WORKOSKY: Yes. The park and planning staff 7 reviewed the traffic statement that we prepared. MR. RUHLEN: Okay. Did transportation staff agree 8 9 that the special exception would not create a traffic hazard or a traffic nuisance or cause frequent turning movements? 10 11 MR. WORKOSKY: They did agree to that. Yes. 12 MR. RUHLEN: With regard to vehicle circulation on 13 the property, did you observe vehicles using the existing 14 drive through? MR. WORKOSKY: Yes. 15 T did. MR. RUHLEN: Please describe the existing drive 16 17 through in terms of functionality. 18 MR. WORKOSKY: The existing drive through, as Mr. 19 Hurney alluded to in his testimony, the way that vehicles 20 access the drive through today, I guess you can see it on 21 this one better. There is parking along the eastern side of 22 the building and vehicles come up to sort of the north end 2.3 of the building. They turn left and get into the drive aisle. They order at the northern end of the building, come 24

down on the western side and pick up there and then come

back out. There is a right out only onto Bel Pre Road on the west side of the building which I failed to mention earlier. This is a right turn only.

EXAMINER: Where do people want to go -- how do they exit if they want to go left on to Bel Pre?

MR. WORKOSKY: They come out of the drive through and they turn right. They go up the drive aisle, turn left, go over to the western side over to the --

EXAMINER: Okay.

MR. WORKOSKY: -- signalized intersection, Parker Farm.

EXAMINER: All right. Thank you.

MR. WORKOSKY: In, I guess, describing the existing conditions, we observed the queue and traffic operations in the field during a typical week day and Saturday. So, we observed it during the morning peak hour, the midday peak hour, the evening peak hour and then Saturday in the middle of the day. The queue ranged anywhere from seven to nine vehicles and that includes, you know, basically the length from the western side as far to the south as you could go up until sort of the ordering window and that really occurred during the midday on a weekday and then on Saturday. It was right in that range of seven to nine vehicles. Some of the other operations in the field which I think Mr. Hurney mentioned are some vehicles

1 get caught up on this northern side trying to get into the 2. queue to order. 3 EXAMINER: Oh. Okay. 4 MR. WORKOSKY: You know, they=ve come from the 5 shopping center --6 EXAMINER: Right. 7 MR. WORKOSKY: -- and they would like to get into the queue. So, it=s a little awkward here because they are 8 able to do so given the configuration that=s in the field. 10 Is the queue flowing counter clockwise, EXAMINER: existing conditions or is it clockwise? 11 12 MR. WORKOSKY: It=s counter clockwise. So, they 13 enter on the right hand side, move around to the left and come around on the west side of the building and then out on 14 15 this end, on the western side. 16 EXAMINER: I see. All right. 17 MR. WORKOSKY: And then they either, if they=re 18 returning west on Bel Pre road, they turn right out of this 19 driveway, and if they=re going to the east, they come 20 through the shopping center, turn left and --21 EXAMINER: To the right. 22 MR. WORKOSKY: -- go back to the east. 2.3 EXAMINER: All right. And can I ask you one? 24 the rendered site plan, you=re going counter clockwise. 25 Right? Correct?

MR. WORKOSKY: Correct. 1 2 EXAMINER: Okay. Where would, from the ordering -- is the first ordering station in the upper northeast 3 corner? Is that the first --4 5 MR. WORKOSKY: I think it=s right here. EXAMINER: Oh. That=s the first. Okay. 6 MR. WORKOSKY: Then there=s another one here. 7 Okay. And then how -- so you can have 8 **EXAMINER:** 9 two people ordering at one time? 10 MR. WORKOSKY: Correct. EXAMINER: Okay. And where toward the south would 11 the seven to nine cars bring you? 12 13 MR. WORKOSKY: Down to, basically down to here. 14 EXAMINER: Okay. 15 MR. WORKOSKY: The window is at the southern most 16 end. 17 EXAMINER: Okay. 18 MR. WORKOSKY: And that allows for the stacking in this lane. 19 20 EXAMINER: I see. All right. 21 MR. WORKOSKY: And that pretty much is how it 22 works today. 23 EXAMINER: Okay. 24 MR. RUHLEN: I was going to ask if Mr. Workosky 25 could also describe the proposed plans. So, if you could --

1 if there=s anything else to elaborate on --2. MR. WORKOSKY: Well, the condition of existing 3 versus proposed. The basic improvements are that there=11 be more stacking in here today then what=s available today. That stacking, I think, is going to increase by probably two to three vehicles that could store in there. 7 EXAMINER: Okay. Uh-huh. MR. WORKOSKY: And traffic that=s on the western 8 9 side of the property that would rather not pull in, have to get out of their car, go inside, they can come through the 10 new lane along the front of the building, go to their left 11 12 and then join the drive through lane there rather than a 13 circuitous movement. In other words, if you came out of one of the other parts of the shopping center, you=d have to go 14 back out onto Bel Pre Road. 1.5 16 EXAMINER: Right. 17 MR. WORKOSKY: Or you would try and force your way 18 in. 19 EXAMINER: Okay. So, now you can do a loop using 20 the front of, the front drive aisle. 21 MR. WORKOSKY: You can use this. Correct. So --22 And where are the truck deliveries **EXAMINER:** 23 going to come in there? Are they --24 They=ll be right in here. MR. WORKOSKY: 25 EXAMINER: I know but from what direction?

MR. WORKOSKY: Oh. Well, they would use the drive 1 2 lane on Bel Pre Road. So, they could turn left or right 3 from Bel Pre here, come in, pull in front, make their 4 delivery, and come out through here. 5 EXAMINER: Yes. Although there is some conflict 6 then with the drive through aisle when they make --7 MR. WORKOSKY: There is. But, they=re not typically in the -- their delivery times typically wouldn=t 8 9 coincide. 10 EXAMINER: Are not the peak hours? MR. WORKOSKY: Yeah. They typically wouldn=t be 11 there. I mean, there are a couple points to the plan up to 12 13 the north and east. This design here helps alleviate those issues with people from the shopping center coming. They=11 14 15 recognize, again, these are people that are familiar with the shopping center. They=11 realize they can come around 16 17 and do this to come in. 18 EXAMINER: Okay. 19 MR. WORKOSKY: So, I think that clears up that 20 conflict point. 21 EXAMINER: Okay. 22 MR. WORKOSKY: And there are a couple of other locations. First, the island, the curbed island, that=s on 23 24 the southeast corner.

EXAMINER: Yes.

```
1
             MR. WORKOSKY: That specific design is to allow
 2
   traffic to go to the north but restrict them to turn right
 3
    and come back out to Bel Pre Road. So, this little section
   needs to be two way because of the existing service station
    that=s on the east. So, this island here would not allow
    somebody to turn right and physically come back out this
 6
 7
    way. That will help relieve some of the conflicts in here.
                        Okay. See the green? It looks like a
 8
              EXAMINER:
9
   green island?
10
             MR. WORKOSKY: Right here?
11
             EXAMINER: Yeah. Is that existing today or is
    that part of the special exception area?
12
13
              MR. WORKOSKY: I believe that exists today.
14
              EXAMINER:
                         But, that=s not part of the special
15
    exception. So, you=re --
16
                                 There=s parking in here today.
             MR. WORKOSKY: No.
17
             EXAMINER: Okay.
18
             MR. WORKOSKY: On this side.
19
              EXAMINER: So, your traffic control is the cement,
20
    the gray island at the bottom.
21
             MR. WORKOSKY: Is this right here.
22
             EXAMINER:
                         Okay.
2.3
             MR. WORKOSKY: Yeah. And that will restrict
24
    traffic from making those movements.
25
             EXAMINER: I see.
```

MR. WORKOSKY: That would, you know, would allow 1 2 them to come back out this way. The other point to be made 3 is that there are parking spaces along this side of the 4 building today that are for the general public=s use. 5 EXAMINER: Oh. They=re not specific to McDonald=s? 6 7 MR. WORKOSKY: Well, they are. They are. They are for McDonald=s use, I guess I should say. What happens is we=re reducing that number of parking spaces you can see by putting the loading in here. There are a few spaces here 10 and then some accessible spaces on the southern most side 11 12 here. 13 **EXAMINER:** Uh-huh. 14 MR. WORKOSKY: There are, I believe, eight or nine 15 spaces along this eastern side. They=re heavily used during the peak hours. 16 17 EXAMINER: Uh-huh. Okay. 18 MR. WORKOSKY: So, what I saw in the field are, 19 you know, some conflicts for when traffic enters on Bel Pre 20 Road, they=ll wait for somebody to pull out of one of the 21 parking spaces if they=re --22 EXAMINER: Oh. 2.3 MR. WORKOSKY: -- if they=d like to go in the building and that can sometimes cause some queuing in here. 24

Since now we won=t have all that available parking there,

1 there won=t be any -- there=ll be less conflicts for 2 somebody to wait to back out. 3 Okay. EXAMINER: 4 MR. WORKOSKY: Because it=s that cumulative effect 5 that can cause the queue. 6 EXAMINER: Okay. 7 MR. WORKOSKY: If we don=t have that cumulative effect of people backing in and out of the parking spaces, 8 they=11 drive in, realize that there=s only two spaces here that are available. If they=re going to the restaurant, I 10 think they=ll come around and park on this side most likely 11 12 or if they=re --13 EXAMINER: How many of the spaces on the eastern 14 side are handicapped spaces? 1.5 MR. WORKOSKY: I believe there are two. EXAMINER: Two? 16 17 MR. RUHLEN: There=s just one. 18 MR. WORKOSKY: One. One handicapped space. 19 sorry. And then three general parking spaces. 20 EXAMINER: Okay. 21 MR. WORKOSKY: So, there=s a reduction there over 22 today which I think it helps to improve the circulation here 23 which is, really, the primary location where traffic comes 24 in and out. 25

EXAMINER: All right. And perhaps I should have

1 asked Mr. Hurney this. Where are the spaces located in the 2 shopping center? Do you know where they are? MR. WORKOSKY: I don=t know that. 3 4 EXAMINER: If you don=t know, it=s fine. We=ll go 5 back. 6 MR. RUHLEN: I believe I know the answer but we 7 may need to get it from him. EXAMINER: Well, we=ll do that on --8 9 MR. RUHLEN: We can do that at the end. 10 EXAMINER: Yes. Okay. I=m sorry to interrupt. Go ahead. 11 12 MR. WORKOSKY: Let=s see. I guess those were the 13 main points of my description of the existing versus 14 proposed conditions. 15 EXAMINER: Okay. MR. WORKOSKY: That there would be some -- I 16 17 believe that there=d be some improvement in how the overall 18 operation of the drive through system works given that there 19 is more stacking and that there=11 be some, I think, 20 improved efficiency in the ordering of those items. 21 EXAMINER: Okay. 22 MR. WORKOSKY: And the reduction in some of the 23 conflicts we=re likely to have here I think improves how the 24 overall circulation would work.

MR. RUHLEN: Okay. Great. In your professional

opinion, how does the proposed drive through compare with 1 the existing drive through? Anything else to add on that? 3 MR. WORKOSKY: I just believe it will be a better, 4 more efficient situation than it is there today. 5 MR. RUHLEN: In your professional opinion, will 6 the special exception improve safety or pedestrian traffic issues? MR. WORKOSKY: I think it will improve safety. 8 9 There are some, you know, lots of conflicting movements there today. This helps clean up a lot of those movements. 10 11 MR. RUHLEN: Has anyone else reviewed the special exception application in terms of impacts on 12 13 vehicular/pedestrian traffic? MR. WORKOSKY: Park and planning staff reviewed 14 15 them as well. They also reviewed the site plan and the 16 queuing requirements. 17 MR. RUHLEN: And did planning board staff 18 determine whether the proposed special exception will improve safety in terms of pedestrian/vehicular traffic? 19 20 MR. WORKOSKY: Yes. They agreed that the proposed 21 plan would improve operations and queuing and general 22 traffic operations around the site. 2.3 MR. RUHLEN: Okay. Is there anything else you 24 would like to add?

MR. WORKOSKY: I think I=ve hit all the points

```
after being in the field and seeing how all the operations
 2
    -- I think what is being proposed here will certain improve
 3
    some of those conflict points that are there today. It=s a
   well established location. You have patrons that are
    familiar with the access so I think they=ll become use to
 6
   the drive through lane very quickly.
 7
              MR. RUHLEN: Great. We have no further questions.
              EXAMINER: All right. Mr. Kauffunger, I have
 8
 9
    exhausted my questions this time. So, would you like to ask
10
    any?
11
             MR. KAUFFUNGER: I have no traffic questions.
12
             EXAMINER: All right. Mr. Workosky, you can be
13
   excused, and Mr. Ruhlen, do you have another witness?
14
             MR. RUHLEN: No. That=s actually the end of our
15
   direct.
            I would suggest maybe we bring Mr. Eidenberger back
    to answer a couple of things that I think require some
16
17
   follow-up?
18
              EXAMINER: Do you have any objection, Mr.
19
   Kauffunger?
20
             MR. KAUFFUNGER:
                               No.
21
                       Okay. Come on back. And I remind you
              EXAMINER:
22
   you=re still under oath.
2.3
              MR. RUHLEN: We had a couple of questions that we
    just hit on but I believe Mr. Eidenberger can answer them.
24
```

EXAMINER: Great.

MR. RUHLEN: If we can turn back to deliveries for a moment. Can you speak to the time of deliveries on the property and how that works with the operations of the existing restaurant?

MR. EIDENBERGER: So McDonald=s controls their own deliveries. We generally set when they can arrive and not arrive on sight, and we clearly steer them around the peak hours of the restaurant so it doesn=t conflict with both the drive through and customers entering inside the building.

EXAMINER: Okay.

MR. RUHLEN: Okay. Another question. Do you have information regarding percentage of drive through versus indoor customers?

MR. EIDENBERGER: Yes. We do. The existing drive through and the proposed drive through would function at 60 percent of the customers that use the restaurant. So, 60 percent drive through, 40 percent inside the restaurant.

EXAMINER: Okay.

MR. RUHLEN: Okay. Great. And I know we don=t have, we already discussed that we don=t have the lease available but do you have any knowledge of location of designated spaces on the adjacent traffic center?

MR. EIDENBERGER: So, I myself don=t. I would speculate based on, you know, the age of the facility that there=s not a specific designated location. It just says

that we=re allowed so many parking spaces in the greater 2 shopping center but we could get an exhibit of the lease to 3 prove that. EXAMINER: 4 Yeah. I think because you are 5 requesting a waiver that we need that in the record to 6 ensure that there is sufficient -- you need the code regulations de facto even though, you know, technically, they=re not all on the site. So --9 MR. EIDENBERGER: And again, it may or may not be 10 a defined area. They just say that we=re allowed so many parking spaces on the shopping center for our use. 11 12 EXAMINER: Okay. 13 MR. EIDENBERGER: The greater shopping center. We=ll see what the --14 EXAMINER: 15 MR. RUHLEN: Absolutely. EXAMINER: Hopefully, it will speak for itself. 16 17 MR. EIDENBERGER: Absolutely. 18 EXAMINER: All right. 19 MR. EIDENBERGER: Okay. 20 EXAMINER: Thank you for the clarifications. 21 MR. RUHLEN: Great. I don=t have any other direct 22 questions, redirect questions. 2.3 Okay. And McDonald=s is willing to EXAMINER: 24 submit either a lease for the dumpster portion as well or an 2.5 access easement?

> 1 MR. EIDENBERGER: Yeah. I believe it=s going to 2 be handled through an access easement. EXAMINER: And will that include a provision for 3 4 maintenance of the dumpster not just access but maintenance of the dumpster area? 6 MR. EIDENBERGER: I=m sure it can. I mean, even 7 if it doesn=t, we are responsible for maintenance and upkeep of our trash corral because it=s our own trash corral for 9 our use. So --10 EXAMINER: Okay. And one more question and suggestion. Would it have a provision for the area 11 12 surrounding the masonry structure solely for maintenance 13 purposes? Would you have enough room to maintain the outside of that dumpster area? Would the easement provide 14 15 for that? If somebody hit that brick, and you needed to go right outside the dumpster area, what I would hope to see is 16 17 that the access easement would allow you to come on the 18 property to repair the dumpster area. 19 MR. EIDENBERGER: If I could suggest this, and I=m

thinking as we=re talking here.

EXAMINER: I know.

MR. EIDENBERGER: We would have --

2.3 EXAMINER: So am I.

20

21

22

24

25

MR. EIDENBERGER: We would have an actual easement for the physical footprint of the corral but we may need a

separate easement for maintenance and upkeep. I don=t know if we would -- we would need to work that out with the landlord, I guess, basically. So --

EXAMINER: Okay. Because one of the things that Montgomery County looks for is that you have the ability to, and one reason we require the lease, is to know that you have the ability to maintain and operate the special exception as you=ve represented.

MR. EIDENBERGER: Uh-huh.

EXAMINER: So, they want to know that you can get on there and maintain that structure if something goes wrong because you=re the applicant here and FLV Del Mercado is not. So, you=re bound by the requirements of the special exception.

MR. EIDENBERGER: And, of course, we=d want to maintain it, and I=m sure our landlord would want us to maintain it, too. So we can -

EXAMINER: I understand. I=m just --

MR. EIDENBERGER: Sure.

EXAMINER: I=m just pointing it out to you having been a transactional attorney at one point that that is something, you know, I know you=re dealing with a fairly sophisticated or fairly large user but that is something you may want to provide for.

MR. EIDENBERGER: Sure.

```
1
             MR. RUHLEN: And not wanting to speak to it too
 2
   much since I=m not working on that portion but I=m sure
 3
   McDonald=s would be looking at whether they can provide for
 4
   that in the easement itself rather than a separate document.
 5
             MR. EIDENBERGER: Correct.
6
             EXAMINER: Right.
 7
             MR. EIDENBERGER: Correct.
             EXAMINER: Okay. Fine. And is that the last of
 8
9
   your case-in-chief witnesses?
10
             MR. RUHLEN: Yes.
11
             EXAMINER: Okay. Mr. Kauffunger.
12
             MR. BRONSTEIN: Ms. Robeson?
13
             EXAMINER: Oh. I=m sorry.
             MR. BRONSTEIN Could we have a short break for a
14
15
   consultation and restroom use?
16
             EXAMINER: Well, you can consult here. I=ll give
17
   you 10 minutes --
18
             MR. BRONSTEIN: Okay.
             EXAMINER: -- and then you don=t have to consult
19
20
   in the restroom. Okay?
21
             MR. BRONSTEIN You=re very kind.
22
             EXAMINER: So, we=11 go off the record for 10
23
   minutes. All right?
24
             MR. BRONSTEIN Okay.
25
             EXAMINER: Thank you.
```

(Off the Record) 1 2 (On the Record) 3 **EXAMINER:** Okay. We=11 go back on the record. 4 Mr. Kauffunger, this is your time. 5 MR. KAUFFUNGER: Yes. Again, for the record, my name is Richard Kauffunger. I live at 2309 East Gate Drive, 6 Silver Spring, Maryland. That is in the area of the Layhill Village Civic Association. Although, over the years, I=ve 9 been most associated with the Layhill Alliance. 10 EXAMINER: Okay. MR. KAUFFUNGER: I=ve been deeply involved in land 11 use issues as a citizen advocate and defending what I just 12 13 consider the public interest for 25 plus years. I guess, really, the thing that really shows my background, because I 14 15 have no formal training is the fact that I have actively participated in as a party of record in 19 zoning cases, and 16 17 I think it=s like 35 special exceptions over the years. 18 also served --EXAMINER: Well, you don=t look too battle 19 20 scarred. 21 MR. KAUFFUNGER: I got to watch my mouth. And, 22 I also served four years on the citizen advisory 23 committee for the Aspen Hill master plan which entailed monthly meetings. It was one hell of a lot of meetings and 24

my claim to fame for a number of years was, it was the

```
longest. I was involved in a case that was one of the
 1
 2
    longest before the Board of Appeals itself before they went
 3
   to using the hearing examiner more fully. We went, I think
    it was 24 sessions.
 4
 5
              EXAMINER: I hate when that happens.
             MR. KAUFFUNGER: Yes. I do, too.
 6
 7
              EXAMINER: My longest was 27.
             MR. KAUFFUNGER: At any rate, the issue here for
 8
9
    the citizens is the parking waiver.
10
             EXAMINER:
                         Uh-huh.
             MR. KAUFFUNGER: And it=s probably best that I
11
    just go up and speak to the exhibits.
12
13
              EXAMINER:
                         That=s fine. Just for the record, if
14
   you could say the number of the exhibit you=re referring to.
15
             MR. KAUFFUNGER: I will do that. Yes. Is there a
   microphone?
16
17
                         No.
                             The mic will pick you up.
              EXAMINER:
18
             MR. KAUFFUNGER: Oh. It will pick me up.
19
             EXAMINER:
                        Yes.
20
             MR. KAUFFUNGER:
                               Okay.
              EXAMINER: I believe that=s Exhibit 30.
21
22
             MR. KAUFFUNGER: Yes.
2.3
                         The photos of the existing conditions.
              EXAMINER:
24
              MR. KAUFFUNGER: Yes. It=s Exhibit 30. I=11
25
    first just go to the aerial, the two aerial views. I was
```

dmb | 76

involved in the special exception modification on adding the drive through window.

EXAMINER: Uh-huh.

1

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

MR. KAUFFUNGER: And, in those days Plaza Del Mercado I used to call the kamikaze rocket --

EXAMINER: Uh-huh.

MR. KAUFFUNGER: -- because, if you were on Bel Pre Road, cars came at you in every direction. That was going to be more greatly complicated with the drive through There were two things I was successful in getting. One was a dedicated left only here because it used to be every entrance and exit was right and left also. The other thing was getting the traffic light at the intersection which was one hell of a battle because the owner of Plaza Del Mercado at that time, a Mr. Ziegler, didn=t, he only wanted the county to pay for it. He didn=t even want McDonald=s to pay for it or the retailers association. there=s been very, very long battles. The store over here has changed hands several times. It started off when I moved here, I=ve lived in the area 33 years. This was High=s, and then there=s been various businesses in there. Even before you had the drive through window there, this area in here was always a big, big problem. It was big enough that the High=s kept making complaints. I think it was Mr. Cohen that used to own McDonald=s or was a

franchisee here. They had a wire that went from the two 1 2. buildings so that when things really got screwed up, you had a guy that handled traffic from McDonald=s and he would go 3 4 out and try to unscramble the traffic. This is before the drive through window. 6 EXAMINER: Uh-huh. 7 MR. KAUFFUNGER: So, there=s always been issues. By the way, I didn=t get involved in this until this past 8 9 week. 10 EXAMINER: Uh-huh. 11 MR. KAUFFUNGER: Okay? When the president of the 12 civic association talked to me and I agreed to, you know, 13 just to check into it and bring up some of the concerns of the past. One of the things I did on Friday is I went into 14 15 the Starbuck=s and I asked, I talked with the assistant manager there the problems that they have. They=re biggest 16 17 complaint is McDonald=s people, customers, using their 18 parking spaces. 19 EXAMINER: Uh-huh. 20 MR. KAUFFUNGER: Particularly, the handicapped 21 spaces. 22 EXAMINER: I can=t see where your finger is 23 pointing --24 MR. KAUFFUNGER: Oh. Oh. I=m sorry. Okay.

EXAMINER: -- because your fist was in the way.

1 MR. KAUFFUNGER: Okay. Okay. In front of the 2 Starbuck=s, close to the entrance way, are two handicapped 3 parking spaces. 4 EXAMINER: Okay. 5 MR. KAUFFUNGER: McDonald=s has one. 6 complaint of the people at Starbuck=s is that McDonald=s, 7 okay, customers often use it. I also went into the Shell gas station. They also said they had a handicap space that they say is often used. Shell has three spaces here. Fairly often the McDonald=s customers are using those 10 11 spaces. 12 Wait. The handicapped spaces or just EXAMINER: 13 the parking spaces? 14 MR. KAUFFUNGER: All together, there=s three 15 spaces there. One handicapped here, two here. According to the assistant manager here and I actually didn=t ask him 16 17 what he was but the fellow in the Shell station says that it 18 was a problem in here. But, that=s just a reflection of the 19 problem that they had for years and years and years. Okay? 20 Obviously, the new plan which is laid out on Exhibit, wherever it is. I don=t see it on there. 21 22 EXAMINER: Well, it=s on the rendered site plan 2.3 there. 24 MR. KAUFFUNGER: Yeah. Oh, yes. I=m sorry. 25 EXAMINER: Which is?

MR. KAUFFUNGER: 29. 1 2 EXAMINER: Okay. 3 MR. KAUFFUNGER: Okay. There=s no question that 4 this is much better. It solves a whole bunch of the remaining problems that you have there. There=s problems. Right now, deliveries come in the back when they make deliveries. I often enter the shopping center through the eastern most entrance to the whole shopping center. was going to go to CVS, sometimes I couldn=t use the road 10 here. 11 EXAMINER: Uh-huh. 12 MR. KAUFFUNGER: A truck is there unloading. 13 EXAMINER: Okay. MR. KAUFFUNGER: Okay? So, there=s been really 14 15 many complications. Obviously, in the mornings or peak hours right now the parking that=s angled in people have a 16 17 problem backing up and getting out but they=ve actually 18 pointed that out here. There are conflicts galore. So, the 19 improvements that they=re making are great. However, for 20 every special exception that needed a modification over the 21 years, there=s always been an issue about the number of 22 parking spaces in the entire Plaza Del Mercado. When Taco 2.3 Bell moved into where the Starbuck=s was --24 EXAMINER: Uh-huh.

MR. KAUFFUNGER: -- or is, the parking was such a

```
big issue that they ended up having to clean out a whole
    area behind where they had a trash area behind the Shell
 3
    station so that they could add a couple of additional
   parking spaces just so they met the requirements of the
   entire shopping center. The other factor that=s an issue
    is, and I=11 go back to an area of the entire shopping
    center here on Exhibit 30, and that is there=s parking
   behind the buildings up here that the shopping center counts
   to meet their total --
10
              EXAMINER: When you say here, that=s the photo on
    the upper left hand side?
11
12
             MR. KAUFFUNGER: Yes. Yes. And it=s the space
13
   behind -- you could say the Plaza Del Mercado is in an L-
14
    shaped design.
1.5
             EXAMINER:
                         Uh-huh.
             MR. KAUFFUNGER: At the apex of the two sides --
16
17
              EXAMINER: Yeah.
18
              MR. KAUFFUNGER: -- is a little walkway through
19
    there and parking spaces back out here.
20
              EXAMINER: Just to the rear of the northern --
21
             MR. KAUFFUNGER: To the rear, yes.
22
              EXAMINER: -- side of the L.
2.3
             MR. KAUFFUNGER:
                               Yes.
24
              EXAMINER:
                         Yeah.
25
             MR. KAUFFUNGER: Okay? Those are virtually never
```

1.5

2.5

used and even on this aerial they=re not used. It would be nice if you could even get the help in the stores to go back there. But the reason for, that it=s so seldom used is for all practical purposes when you come in and out of there, this corner, that used to be a Giants. So this would be the northeast corner of the block and what was the anchor store that really effectively is only a one-way or a single lane road. So, you can go both ways but you can=t go around the corner, you know, two at a time. If you come out onto Bel Pre Road from behind all the stores, you can only go into the shopping center in front of the Advance Auto and then directly out because there=s an island that was put in there to stop the cut through=s. By the way, that was done, that blockage was put in to accommodate McDonald=s convergent. It was because cars used to come across --

EXAMINER: Convergent to the drive through, you mean?

MR. KAUFFUNGER: Yeah. When they converted to the drive through, you had cars that used to come across here and try to break through and go out.

EXAMINER: I see.

MR. KAUFFUNGER: So, they put a bigger island in and they put an island in the middle. But, the end result is if you park back here, you can only go out onto Bel Pre Road.

1 EXAMINER: Okay. 2 MR. KAUFFUNGER: You can=t go into the shopping 3 center. 4 EXAMINER: All right. 5 MR. KAUFFUNGER: So, we have a situation that 6 exists where parking for the entire shopping center is marginal and was made a big issue in the past by the staff at park and planning where they were looking for the spaces, you know, an additional space here or there. I=m going to just raise it because it=s always been an issue, and it was 10 an issue at the time of the master plan. These two shopping 11 12 centers should have been tied together from the very 13 beginning. So, one of the things that=s of discussion 14 whenever there=s a change requested in Plaza Del Mercado is 15 to see if we can make it a requirement of approval that they finally put in some kind of a tie in between these two 16 17 shopping centers. These were discussions during the master 18 plan time. It was a very, very big issue. 19 EXAMINER: You=re pointing to the upper left hand 20 corner. 21 MR. KAUFFUNGER: Okay. Okay. When I=m showing --22 EXAMINER: That=s a strip shopping center that 23 faces Bel Pre or Layhill? 24 MR. KAUFFUNGER: Yes. This is the Layhill 25 Shopping Center. Okay? Here.

EXAMINER: Yeah.

1

2

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KAUFFUNGER: And this is Plaza Del Mercado.

3 EXAMINER: Okay. To the west.

MR. KAUFFUNGER: So, on the very east -- yes.

EXAMINER: Okay.

MR. KAUFFUNGER: And Layhill Shopping Center actually has access on the Layhill Road, and it has three entrances and exits onto Bel Pre Road which is just what makes it so complicated. It still would be great if, at this point, they execute on the idea of tying those two shopping centers together. But, doing a tie in right up here near the, I guess you=d call it the southeast corner of what was the anchor store for Plaza Del Mercado. But, the real concern to many of us is that Giant has now closed. There was a proposal to tear it down, put in a two floor store, and again, because they were going to have more, you know, floor space, there was a big, big issue, again, on parking and how do we make this whole thing work. concern in the area is we are now without a food store and if, you know, Giant clearly is not interested in going back there but it would be great if we could get some other kind of a store like a Magruder=s or something like that. we don=t want to end up not being able to get that kind of an anchor because the critical number of spaces ends up being used for trash area. What is that? Okay. Three

spaces are lost there from the trash and then you have the waiver for true parking spaces. So, our opportunity to get 3 a new food store in the Plaza Del Mercado is made very questionable because of the loss of parking spaces. 5 EXAMINER: It=s my understanding that this, oh. 6 Okay. I see. How many spaces are we losing here, though? We=re only losing, it seems to me on the special exception 7 site, you=re only losing six or seven spaces. 9 MR. KAUFFUNGER: Yes. EXAMINER: I could be wrong. 10 MR. KAUFFUNGER: Yes. Plus, you=re losing those 11 plus the three, four in the trash. 12 13 **EXAMINER:** On lot 2? MR. KAUFFUNGER: Yes. Well, altogether to meet 14 15 their requirements, okay? You had parking spaces that ran 16 all the way up the side of the building. 17 EXAMINER: Right. And I=m assuming there=s a net 18 loss but I=m not sure. MR. KAUFFUNGER: Well, Chris help me add. One, 19 20 two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight. 21 EXAMINER: Okay. Okay. Please don=t approach. 22 This is a waiver but it=s from a pre-existing condition. 23 All right? So, I don=t know. Did McDonald=s meet the number of parking spaces that were there? Meet the required 24 25 number of parking spaces on site prior to this?

1 MR. RUHLEN: In connection with the previous 2 special exception rules? EXAMINER: Yes. 3 4 MR. RUHLEN: We can provide some more information 5 but my understanding is only through the combination of on and off site. 7 EXAMINER: That was my understanding from the staff report as well that this isn=t -- well, there may be a 8 few spaces net loss but this is primarily a pre-existing 10 condition. 11 MR. KAUFFUNGER: What you=re losing is what? Eight spaces here alongside the currently --12 13 EXAMINER: The eastern side. MR. KAUFFUNGER: On the eastern side --14 15 EXAMINER: Okay. MR. KAUFFUNGER: -- there were eight spaces. 16 17 EXAMINER: Okay. I understand. 18 MR. KAUFFUNGER: Now, you have three. 19 EXAMINER: Four. 20 MR. KAUFFUNGER: No. It=s three. Oh. Four. 21 Wait there=s one of these fences. Okay. So, is it four? 22 EXAMINER: It=s hard for me to see. Let me get 2.3 the --24 MR. KAUFFUNGER: No. Yeah. Because this is the

handicapped. So, that=s not a space there. That=s where

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

you get in and out. That=s the extra space.

EXAMINER: Okay.

MR. KAUFFUNGER: Two spaces is one, two, three. Okay? You had eight so you lose five there plus with the addition, and again, I=m looking at Exhibit 29. With the addition of the trash area, you lose three spaces in the parking lot.

EXAMINER: Okay.

MR. KAUFFUNGER: Okay? The net is that in Plaza Del Mercado, this is looking at it in a very simplistic way, you end up losing eight spaces but in a place they couldn=t make the numbers work before. It is always a big issue about whether or not you meet the county requirements for the shopping center as a whole or the rest of the shopping center and we don=t want, the community doesn=t want, to lose out with having a local food store because it doesn=t have adequate parking. The other thing is, what is happening today, and you could easily see how it could become a bigger issue is the loss of these spaces to Starbucks. Starbucks= people, and according to them, are often parking, you know, go into currently the anchor but, you know, towards the anchor store site. So, that=s the only thing that=s really available to them, and it becomes much more obvious when you look at the aerial that=s part of Exhibit 30 of the entire shopping center.

EXAMINER: The upper right hand photograph.

MR. KAUFFUNGER: Yes. The upper right hand corner. But, if the Starbucks= people can=t park here then they=re parking up in here, and that=s clearly what you=re seeing.

EXAMINER: You=re saying behind the gas station and not Starbucks.

MR. KAUFFUNGER: Behind the gas station. Well, the gas station is in here. The Starbucks runs across the back of it.

EXAMINER: Okay.

MR. KAUFFUNGER: And to point out, the Starbucks with a Taco Bell, the parking was so tight that they ended up removing the trash area for the gas station so they could get another space or two in there just so they could meet the requirements for the entire shopping center. So, it=s always been very, very tight and depending on who the tenants are, you can have big problems. When they had a Spa Lady or something like that. That was definitely a new one. When it was the hours for the gals to go and exercise, it was tough parking. So, it=s a very real problem, and I don=t want us just to go off over that at this point because it can have significant impacts for the people in the entire area and shopping to go through what we all moan about today. You can get milk at the 7-Eleven but there=s lots of

1 other things that you-d like to be able to just shoot out 2 and get that=s no longer viable. 3 So, are you saying you would prefer the EXAMINER: 4 existing conditions to remain? 5 MR. KAUFFUNGER: What I would really like them to do is work through -- I mean, I didn=t know what they were 6 I couldn=t get anybody that kept the drawings. planning. So, I wasn=t able to try and figure out what to do. Okay? So, I can=t say that I have a solution but I=d like Okay? 10 them to keep on trying. Okay? 11 EXAMINER: Okay. 12 MR. KAUFFUNGER: And it may be that their eating 13 area has been -- I mean, you know, if the parking is most directly tied to eat in, okay, maybe if they reduce that. I 14 15 can=t give a solution. I just would like to see more work done to figure out what could be done. 16 17 EXAMINER: Okay. 18 MR. KAUFFUNGER: Because I=ve laid out some of 19 It=s, you know, we live in a world of trade offs. 20 But, it=s a great concern to the public interests in the 21 area that we protect the anchor site for a food store. 22 EXAMINER: Okay.

MR. KAUFFUNGER: And that store has been -- what

MR. RUHLEN: Something like that.

23

24

25

has it been vacant six months?

1 MR. KAUFFUNGER: Yeah. 2 EXAMINER: All right. 3 MR. KAUFFUNGER: It only recently went out. 4 **EXAMINER:** All right. MR. KAUFFUNGER: And that=s my issue. 5 6 EXAMINER: All right. 7 MR. KAUFFUNGER: Thank you. EXAMINER: Mr. Ruhlen, any questions? 8 9 MR. RUHLEN: Yeah. I have a couple questions if you don=t mind. Thank you for your testimony. 10 11 EXAMINER: No. If you could go back to your seat. 12 MR. KAUFFUNGER: Okay. I was thinking I=d have to 13 point it out again. MR. RUHLEN: Sure. We can point across the room 14 15 if we need to do this. 16 MR. KAUFFUNGER: Okay. I can=t see that far. 17 MR. RUHLEN: Just to clarify. Could I ask you a 18 quick question? Are you speaking today as an individual? 19 MR. KAUFFUNGER: Officially, I am speaking as an 20 individual and a user of the shopping centers in that area. 21 MR. RUHLEN: Okay. We were using the exhibits a lot and for the record, Exhibit 30, I believe, has several 22 23 site photos including, it looks like three different aerial 24 photos.

MR. KAUFFUNGER: Yes.

1 MR. RUHLEN: You were using those in your 2. comments. MR. KAUFFUNGER: 3 Yes. 4 MR. RUHLEN: Are those, in your opinion, accurate 5 representations of the parking situation on the property, the McDonald=s and the Plaza Del Mercado? 7 MR. KAUFFUNGER: Of the parking? I=m not sure what you=re --9 MR. RUHLEN: Those are true site photographs of 10 existing conditions. 11 MR. KAUFFUNGER: They are true site photographs. I don=t know what time of the day they were taken. 12 13 MR. RUHLEN: Okay. And you also testified that 14 you agree that improvements are being made in connection 15 with the special exception to deal with certain conditions that have proven to be undesirable or perhaps even --16 17 MR. KAUFFUNGER: Without question. 18 MR. RUHLEN: Okay. MR. KAUFFUNGER: It=s a positive step forward from 19 20 20 years ago. 21 MR. RUHLEN: And you also suggested that with 22 regard to parking -- did I understand correctly perhaps we 23 look at, McDonald=s look at, reducing patron area in order 24 to reduce parking requirements. Is that what your

25

suggestion --

```
1
             MR. KAUFFUNGER: That was just off the top of my
 2
   head.
 3
             MR. RUHLEN: Okay.
 4
             MR. KAUFFUNGER: You know, I --
 5
             MR. RUHLEN: Okay. I have no further questions
 6
   right now.
 7
              EXAMINER: All right.
             MR. RUHLEN: But, I would like to recall, if we
 8
 9
   may, if we could bring --
10
             EXAMINER: Well, we=ll go into rebuttal.
             MR. RUHLEN: Okay.
11
12
             EXAMINER: Okay. Anyone else that would like to
13
   testify in opposition or whatever? All right. Moving now
   to rebuttal. Mr. Ruhlen, do you want to call your first
14
15
   rebuttal witness?
16
             MR. RUHLEN: Yes. I think it would be useful if
17
   Mr. Hurney, if we could call Mr. Hurney back.
18
              EXAMINER: Okay.
19
             MR. RUHLEN: Although, he probably shouldn=t get
20
   too comfortable in his chair because he may have to stand up
21
    and go to the exhibits.
              EXAMINER: Mr. Hurney, you=re still under oath.
22
23
             MR. HURNEY: Okay.
24
             MR. RUHLEN: Okay. We went through a string of
25
   questions on parking requirements but I would like to
```

```
revisit some of those questions and maybe see if we can
 2.
    clarify some points for the record. Mr. Hurney, you
 3
    testified previously with your familiarity with the
   requirements of the Montgomery County Zoning Ordinance and
    the requirements of the C1 zone?
              MR. HURNEY: Yes.
                                 I did.
 6
 7
             MR. RUHLEN: Okay. You also testified as to
   parking requirements for the existing uses and the proposed
    use. Could you please describe the code requirements for
    the existing parking on site and what those requirements are
10
   based off?
11
12
             MR. HURNEY: The requirements for the McDonald=s
13
   restaurant in the C1 zone is based on the patron area of the
    site. It=s 25 per 1,000 square feet of patron area.
14
15
              MR. RUHLEN: Okay. Do you have information
   regarding the existing patron area in the current
16
17
   restaurant?
18
              MR. HURNEY: Yes.
                                 I do.
19
              MR. RUHLEN: And what is that patron area?
20
             MR. HURNEY: I=11 have to get the number out of
21
          Eighteen hundred and sixty-four square feet.
    here.
22
              MR. RUHLEN:
                           Okay.
2.3
              MR. HURNEY:
                          Is for the existing.
24
             MR. RUHLEN: And what amount, under the code,
25
    speaking in terms of the code requirements, what does that
```

translate to in terms of number of parking spaces? 1 2 MR. HURNEY: The required number of parking spaces 3 under the, for the current, would be 47 required spaces. 4 MR. RUHLEN: Okay. Forty-seven required spaces by 5 code, and how many spaces are currently provided on site? 6 MR. HURNEY: Eighteen are provided on site. 7 MR. RUHLEN: And off site? MR. HURNEY: There=s 35 designated for from the 8 9 Plaza del Mercado Shopping Center which totals 53 spaces. MR. RUHLEN: Do you have information regarding 10 whether there is currently an ADA accessible parking space 11 12 on site? 13 MR. HURNEY: Yes. There is. MR. RUHLEN: And where is the location of that ADA 14 15 parking space? 16 MR. HURNEY: It=s on the east side of the building 17 further up towards the ordering station towards the north of 18 the building. 19 MR. RUHLEN: Okay. 20 Basically towards the end up here. MR. HURNEY: 21 MR. RUHLEN: Okay. So, how many parking spaces, 22 then, are currently provided on the eastern side of the 23 restaurant including the ADA space? 24 MR. HURNEY: Really, there=s eight or nine on the 25 existing. There=s one space that I think people use that=s

```
1
   really not a parking space.
 2
             MR. RUHLEN: Okay. With regard to the proposed
 3
   restaurant, what is the patron area for the proposed
 4
   restaurant?
 5
             MR. HURNEY: The patron area for the proposed
 6
   restaurant is 1149 square feet.
 7
             MR. RUHLEN: Can I ask you one other question?
                                                              Ιf
   we could return, quickly, to existing. We talked about
   patron area. Did you review outdoor seating as well?
   there outdoor seating on the existing use?
10
11
             MR. HURNEY: Yes. Yes. There is.
12
             MR. RUHLEN: And I don=t believe we addressed that
13
   in the previous question but does the 47 required parking
14
   spaces include the requirements, including that outdoor
1.5
   area?
16
             MR. HURNEY: No. That was for the patron area.
17
             MR. RUHLEN:
                          Okay. Just interior patron area
18
   only.
19
             MR. HURNEY: Yeah.
20
             EXAMINER: I didn=t hear the zoning ordinance
21
   requirement. Is it by square footage of patron area or is
22
   it number of seats?
2.3
             MR. HURNEY: Square footage of patron area.
24
             EXAMINER: Okay. And what is the formula?
25
             MR. HURNEY: Twenty-five per 1,000 square feet of
```

```
1
   gross patron area.
 2.
             EXAMINER: Okay. So, the patron area without the
 3
   playground, and I guess they=re not counting the playground
   because it=s not enclosed? Is that what it is?
 4
 5
             MR. HURNEY: Correct.
             EXAMINER: Okay. So, the existing amount of
 6
 7
   playground area was how much? I mean, the existing amount
   of patron area was how much?
9
             MR. HURNEY: The existing is 1,864, and the
10
   proposed is 1,149.
11
             EXAMINER: Okay. But, you=re also eliminating
   about what square footage in the playground area?
12
13
             MR. HURNEY: Well --
             EXAMINER: Exclusive of the equipment.
14
15
             MR. RUHLEN: If I could clarify? Are there seats
16
   outside?
17
             MR. HURNEY: No.
18
             MR. RUHLEN: Okay.
                                  There=s no patron --
19
             EXAMINER: Oh. I thought someone said there were
20
   seats outside.
21
             MR. HURNEY: Right. There=s some outside. Right.
22
                          Okay. But --
             MR. RUHLEN:
2.3
             EXAMINER: Do you happen to know how many?
24
             MR. HURNEY: Eight.
25
             MR. RUHLEN: Eight.
```

```
1
             MR. HURNEY: It=s a round table.
 2
             EXAMINER: Okay. Wait.
                                      Wait.
             MR. BRONSTEIN: I think there are 10.
 3
 4
             EXAMINER:
                        Wait. Okay. You can=t. I need
 5
   somebody under oath to testify. So, do you want to take a
   two minute break and see if you can consult and come up with
   that testimony or can you find it somewhere?
                          Do you need a break or are you ready?
 8
             MR. RUHLEN:
9
             MR. HURNEY: Yeah. I need a break.
                                                   I need to
   take a look at the photos.
10
11
             MR. RUHLEN: Just to make sure we have the
   information on the CD.
12
13
             EXAMINER: Okay. It can just be a two minute
14
   break.
15
             MR. RUHLEN:
                          Sure.
16
             MR. HURNEY: That=d be great.
17
             MR. KAUFFUNGER: Do you want to swear me in
18
   retroactively?
19
             EXAMINER:
                        Oh. I did not swear you in? That was
20
   my bad. While they=re doing that, why absolutely certainly.
21
   Please raise your right hand. Do you solemnly affirm under
22
   penalties of perjury that the statements you have already
2.3
   made were the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
   truth, and any further statements you=re about to make are
24
25
   the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
```

```
MR. KAUFFUNGER: I do.
1
 2
             EXAMINER: Thank you, Mr. Kauffunger. I would not
 3
   have you sit here and not under oath. All right.
 4
             MR. RUHLEN: I believe we have it straight.
 5
             EXAMINER: You have it straight. That=s good.
 6
   Okay. Mr. Hurney.
 7
             MR. HURNEY: Okay. There are actually 10 seats
 8
   outside of the -- in the front of the building next to the
9
   playground area.
10
                        Okay. So, you had 1,149 square feet of
             EXAMINER:
   enclosed area, the patron area.
11
12
             MR. RUHLEN: Proposed.
13
             MR. HURNEY: For proposed.
             EXAMINER: I mean 1,864.
14
15
             MR. HURNEY: Correct.
             EXAMINER: 1,864 enclosed patron area existing
16
17
   plus the 10 seats.
18
             MR. RUHLEN: Were the 10 seats included in the
   calculation of the parking requirements based on --
19
20
             MR. HURNEY:
                          No.
21
             MR. RUHLEN: No.
                               Okay.
22
             EXAMINER: Mister -- yes. Okay. And now you have
23
   1,149 square feet of patron area without any seating.
24
             MR. HURNEY: Well, the seating inside of the
```

25

building is 1,149.

EXAMINER: I mean without any seating outside. 1 2 MR. HURNEY: Correct. Correct. MR. RUHLEN: And what are the parking requirements 3 4 under the zoning ordinance based on the 1,149? 5 MR. HURNEY: On the 1,149, again, based on the 25 6 per 1,000 square feet of patron area, 29 spaces are required. Thirteen of those are provided on the site and again, we still have the 35 that are in the Plaza Del Mercado shopping area which gives us a total of 48 spaces provided and 29 are required. 10 11 MR. RUHLEN: So, 48 parking spaces will be provided for the use following implementation of this 12 13 special exception? 14 MR. HURNEY: Correct. 15 MR. RUHLEN: And 29 parking spaces would be 16 required? 17 MR. HURNEY: Correct. 18 MR. RUHLEN: Versus 47 parking spaces being 19 required today? 20 MR. HURNEY: Correct. 21 MR. RUHLEN: Okay. 22 I=m sorry. One more thing. How many EXAMINER: 23 were on site under existing conditions? MR. HURNEY: Eighteen. 24

MR. RUHLEN: Yes. And I wanted to ask --

EXAMINER: And now it=s 13? 1 2 MR. HURNEY: Now it=s 13. 3 EXAMINER: Okay. 4 MR. RUHLEN: And I was going to ask if Mr. Hurney 5 could show us where those spaces are being proposed? 6 EXAMINER: Okay. 7 MR. HURNEY: Previously under the existing 8 condition, the parking spaces were totally along the east 9 side of the building. 10 EXAMINER: Right. 11 MR. HURNEY: And at the present time, we have 12 three spaces indicated here of those. We eliminated five 13 spaces that were up in this area which were also the spaces that were conflicting with the drive through movements. 14 15 EXAMINER: And the truck deliveries. MR. HURNEY: And the truck deliveries. 16 17 EXAMINER: Yeah. 18 MR. RUHLEN: Okay. And again, Mr. Hurney, you 19 previously testified that you visited the site, the special 20 exception site in preparation of -- with the special 21 exception application materials. Exhibit 30, the aerial 22 photographs. Do you believe those photographs to accurately 2.3 depict the site? 24 MR. HURNEY: Yes. I do. 25

MR. RUHLEN: Did you observer parking conditions

on the site when you made your site visits? 2. MR. HURNEY: Yes. I was out there numerous times. 3 I know three specifically plus other times when I=ve driven by there myself, and I think it is shown on the photographs not only that I took but also the aerials. There is always 6 a number of empty spaces that were available in the shopping center. 8 **EXAMINER:** Okay. 9 MR. RUHLEN: When did you visit the site? Do you recall the last time or the --10 11 MR. HURNEY: Well, the last time was just a couple of months ago. But, I went out there as early as, 12 13 basically, about 18 months ago when we first started on 14 this. Some of those photos that are taken with the snow was 15 taken last winter. I believe it was in December of, it would have been >10, 2010. 16 17 MR. RUHLEN: When you have been out on site, in 18 your belief, was the grocery store still occupied? MR. HURNEY: Yes. It was. When we first took 19 20 those photographs, it was occupied. 21 MR. RUHLEN: And when you observed the site 22 conditions you were describing and the availability of 23 parking, the grocery store was in operation on the property? 24 MR. HURNEY: Yes. Yes. It was.

MR. RUHLEN: Okay. I guess I wanted to ask a

```
couple other questions quickly just to clarify. Again, just
 1
 2
   to clarify, what is the area of this special exception
 3
    application, the area subject to the special exception
 4
    application?
 5
              MR. HURNEY: The area is --
              MR. RUHLEN: I mean, let me clarify again.
 6
 7
              MR. HURNEY:
                           Oh.
              MR. RUHLEN: In terms of the lots, which lots are
 8
 9
    involved in the subject application?
10
              MR. HURNEY: We have lot 3 is and just the area
    for the dumpster which is adjacent to on lot 2 which I
11
12
   believe is 434 square feet.
13
              MR. RUHLEN: Okay. Are you aware of, this applies
14
    to the adjacent property, but are you aware of any site plan
15
    applications or any other development approvals for the
    adjacent lot that is not subject to today=s?
16
              MR. HURNEY: There was a previous site plan
17
18
    submitted for the Plaza del Mercado Shopping Center for the
19
   renovation of the Giant food store at the time.
20
              MR. RUHLEN: Do you know the approximate time it
21
   was --
22
              MR. HURNEY: It was approximately 2005 to 2006
2.3
   time frame.
24
              MR. RUHLEN: And did you review any park and
25
   planning staff reports and materials in connection with that
```

process? 1 2. MR. HURNEY: Yes. I did. I reviewed the staff 3 reports for that, and staff approved the application for the renovations to the shopping center parking lot. 5 MR. RUHLEN: Okay. Okay. Great. And you recall 6 that was a site plan or? 7 MR. HURNEY: Yes. It was. It was a site plan 8 submittal. 9 MR. RUHLEN: Okay. Okay. Great. No further 10 questions for Mr. Hurney. 11 EXAMINER: All right. Any questions solely based on Mr. Ruhlen=s questions? 12 13 MR. KAUFFUNGER: Yes. I will ask a queuestion. In reviewing your testimony just now, it would be correct to 14 15 say that there will be a need to take eight additional spaces out of the Plaza del Mercado Shopping Center parking 16 17 because of changes on site and those changes being five from 18 a loss of spaces on the east side of the store and three 19 spaces for the trash area. 20 MR. HURNEY: Well, I mean, the thing is is that in 21 looking at it as the county looked at it if the Plaza Del 22 Mercado goes in and they can make an agreement with 23 McDonald=s to use just what McDonald=s uses, you know, in 24 the 2005 site plan application, that=s what they did. They

put in the requirements for all the uses on the site

1 including the shopping --2 EXAMINER: Who put in the requirements? The 3 shopping center? 4 MR. HURNEY: No. The site plan application and 5 Federal Realty submitted --EXAMINER: Oh. I see. 6 7 MR. HURNEY: -- back in 2005 --8 EXAMINER: Park and planning. Yeah. 9 MR. HURNEY: -- and park and planning looking at the total parking lot, used all the calculations including 10 the shopping center, the McDonald=s, the Shell service 11 station and the Starbucks, which are on separate parcels --12 13 **EXAMINER:** Right. MR. HURNEY: -- did the total calculation --14 15 EXAMINER: I see. MR. HURNEY: -- for all those uses based on the 16 17 square footage that was out there and came up with the 18 requirements for the shopping center because they 19 acknowledged the fact that it was an integrated process. 20 So, if you went back in and did a re-application or follow 21 up with that, there=s actually a net of 15 spaces because 22 we=ve dropped down from 47 to 29. 2.3 EXAMINER: Yeah. I was just looking at that. 24 MR. HURNEY: Which is 18. We are putting in the 25 dumpster which takes up three spaces and everything else.

1 So, even if you take away those three spaces, Federal Realty 2 has a net of 15 that they could allocate to the, any re-3 development they had assuming they can --4 **EXAMINER:** Right. 5 MR. HUNREY: -- the lease, in the legal terms with McDonald=s. 6 7 EXAMINER: Right. MR. HURNEY: Because they do have a commitment of 8 9 35. 10 **EXAMINER:** Right. MR. HURNEY: But, if park and planning looks at it 11 the same way they looked at it in 2005, they=re going to see 12 13 a net increase of 15 spaces. 14 **EXAMINER:** Right. 15 MR. HURNEY: Not a reduction of eight. 16 EXAMINER: Eight spaces. 17 Eight spaces. Right. They=re going to EXAMINER: 18 see a net increase of 15 using the same methodology they did 19 before. 20 EXAMINER: Does, okay. All right. Percentage wise, what was the number of required spaces existing? 21 22 MR. HURNEY: Required for the existing condition 23 are 47 because the patron area was a lot larger in the older building than it is at the present time, and a lot of that 24

has to do with the functionality of the seating arrangement.

> 1 EXAMINER: Right. Okay. All right. Mr. Ruhlen, 2 anything further. 3 MR. RUHLEN: I=d also like to call Mr. Workosky 4 back if that=s fine? 5 EXAMINER: Fine. Mr. Workosky, you=re still under 6 oath. 7 MR. WORKOSKY: Yes. MR. RUHLEN: Mr. Workosky, I guess I would ask you 8 again, like Mr. Hurney, Exhibit 30, the aerial photos. We 9 have three examples. 10 11 EXAMINER: Those are the three photos on the top 12 of Exhibit 30. 13 MR. RUHLEN: Right. In your opinion, do those 14 photos accurately represent what you=ve testified that you 1.5 observed on the site in terms of --MR. WORKOSKY: They generally do what I saw in the 16 17 field when I was there. 18 MR. RUHLEN: Okay. We had talked before about the 19 special exception site but did you also observe conditions 20 generally in the shopping center property in terms of 21 parking? 22 MR. WORKOSKY: I did. I was in the field a couple 23 of times at the shopping center specifically back in April 24 on a typical week day which was a Thursday. There during 25

the morning through the afternoon and evening peak hours,

drove around, watched observations, general parking, without 1 2. being specific about parking occupancy, but I didn=t 3 perceive a parking issue in the field. I saw, you know, plenty of parking spaces primarily where they=re shown on these aerial photos which is sort of in the southeastern part of the main parking field that, you know, is directly in front of the grocery store and to the west of the McDonald=s building. There=s parking available there. was the same case on Saturday through the midday hours say between 10:00 and 2:00 or 3:00 p.m. 10 11 MR. RUHLEN: And the timing of your site visits, were you on site while the supermarket was still occupying 12 13 the shopping center? Do you recall? 14 MR. WORKOSKY: Yes. It was still up back in 15 April. 16 MR. RUHLEN: Okay. We had some previous testimony 17 about sort of what=s happening just off the access point 18 from Bel Pre in connection with those spaces. Could you 19 revisit that testimony again in terms of some of the 20 congestion issues that we=ve heard about? 21 MR. WORKOSKY: Well, some of the current 22 operations that are along the access road from Bel Pre in 2.3 between the McDonald=s and the Starbucks on the eastern 24 side, there are conflicts created by vehicles backing out

and other vehicles waiting to pull into some of the those

dmb | 107

parking spaces today. That causes some queuing and some congestion in those areas. Also, some traffic that uses the parking spaces on the eastern side within the service station. By reducing the number of parking spaces that are in front of the McDonald=s building on the east side, that will help alleviate some of those conflicts that you have there today.

MR. RUHLEN: No further questions.

EXAMINER: All right. Mr. Kauffunger, any questions of Mr. Workosky?

MR. KAUFFUNGER: No.

2.

2.3

EXAMINER: All right. Anyone else?

MR. RUHLEN: No. That=s all we have.

EXAMINER: Okay. Closing statements?

MR. RUHLEN: Sure. We submit that through the evidence and testimony that we=ve presented today, we=ve demonstrated that this application meets the standards necessary for approval of the proposed modifications to the existing special exception.

To the extent that these concerns have been raised regarding parking sufficiency, we would point out a couple of things, I think, that we=ve heard through our testimony today. We=ve heard that there are some existing concerns with queuing and such and cut through traffic that will be addressed by the site improvements that are being proposed.

2.

2.3

We have heard that in terms of code requirements, although some parking spaces are being requested to be eliminated in connection with the parking waiver, overall requirements for the proposed use are actually dropping. From a code perspective, I guess we would also point out some of the county=s recent policy trends and where they=re going with parking requirements in general. That sort of drop is perhaps not ultimately inconsistent with where park and planning may go at the time that, you know, the landlord comes in and chooses to potentially redevelop that site. It may be that the zoning ordinance no longer requires as many parking spaces as it does today. There=s a shifting county policy on that.

In terms of some of the concerns we have heard about, the applicant can do what it can to control, you know, how users are using the property, and we are willing to take those comments into consideration. But, I think that sort of in sum, this is an existing use. It=s a long standing use. There are a lot of conditions that have sort of arisen around the property over time that we do believe that the application attempts to address or at least to improve on. So, we would hope that to the extent that some of these concerns have been raised that all things considered on balance this allows for some upgrades to be accomplished on the property in a way that they can=t be

2.

2.3

done under the existing special exception approval. With that, we respectfully request a favorable recommendation. But, if you have any other questions for the applicant or any of the applicant=s representatives, I=d be happy to address that as well.

EXAMINER: Okay. Let me hear from -- Mr. Kauffunger, do you want to say anything in closing?

MR. KAUFFUNGER: Well, the reason we=re here today is that they=re asking for a waiver. They=re asking for a waiver to use parking spaces on an adjacent shopping center, and the issue that I maintain, yes, the discussion=s about changing parking requirements in different areas of the county. There=s no question about that. But, today as I understand it, we=re still using the same parking requirements and by giving up spaces that are maybe not recognized, we could be curtailing our own ability to find a food store that would wish to relocate into the old Giant location, and that=s why I feel that it=s not in the public interest to grant this waiver.

EXAMINER: All right. I would like, before I issue my report, I would like to keep the record open to allow you to submit the existing lease.

MR. RUHLEN: Okay.

EXAMINER: The existing lease. Not for the dumpster but what you have, and if there=s anything you can

clarify as to the location or how the existing parking -- is it geographically located or is it anywhere on this center?

MR. RUHLEN: Okay.

EXAMINER: How long would you need me to do that?

MR. RUHLEN: Could we do 10 days, two weeks? Ten days?

EXAMINER: You mean 10 business days, two weeks?

MR. RUHLEN: Ten business days.

EXAMINER: Yes. That=s fine. Now, I have 30 days with the understanding one of the conditions of approval would be that you submit whatever document showing your right to use and maintain that dumpster area before the Board of Appeals. As you know, I have 30 days from the date the record closes. So, 30 days from, I didn=t bring my calendar in with me so I don=t have what 10 business days would be from today but it=ll be 10 business days from today unless it=s a holiday and then it will be the following day.

MR. RUHLEN: Sure.

EXAMINER: I have 30 days from that date to write my report and recommendation. Once it=s posted, it=ll be on our website, and we will notify you that it=s been placed on the website. You have 10 days after the date it=s issued to request written oral argument before the Board of Appeals if you do not agree with the report and recommendation. The 10 days at this point is only to, is not to submit additional

| 1 | evidence, it=s only to receive the transcript of the case |
|----|---|
| 2 | and the lease with, you know, if the lease is really |
| 3 | complicated legalese, on the parking with a short |
| 4 | description of that. |
| 5 | MR. RUHLEN: Okay. |
| 6 | EXAMINER: All right? So, with that, I will |
| 7 | adjourn this hearing. Thank you very much for coming. |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

| 1 | |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | <u>CERTIFICATE</u> |
| 8 | DEPOSITION SERVICES, INC., hereby certifies that |
| 9 | the attached pages represent an accurate transcript of the |
| 10 | electronic sound recording of the proceedings before the |
| 11 | Office of Zoning and Administrative Hearings for Montgomery |
| 12 | County in the matter of: |
| 13 | Petition of McDonald=s USA, LLC |
| 14 | Special Exception No. S-786-B |
| 15 | OZAH No. 11-43 |
| 16 | |
| 17 | By: |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | Dawn M. Bahnmiller, Transcriber |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |